

ORAL PRESENTATION EVALUATION FORM

Presenter's Name:

Use the following scale to rate the presenter:

1 – strongly agree, 2- disagree, 3 – somewhat agree, 4- agree, 5 – strongly agree

1. The speaker clearly explained the research/scholarly question and hypothesis.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The speaker included an appropriate amount of background information or context so I could easily follow the presentation.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The broader significance of the research was clearly stated and connected to the research/scholarly question.	1	2	3	4	5
4. Experiments, methods, underlying theory, or critical approach were well-explained and suitable for addressing the research question.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The presenter clearly and convincingly explained how their results and analysis support their thesis or stated hypothesis.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The presenter had a clear conclusion slide that answered the research question and logically followed from the results.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The presentation was designed for a general audience and explained technical terms.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The presentation was well-organized and followed a logical order.	1	2	3	4	5
9. Slide design contributed to the effectiveness of the presentation (clear and visually appealing).	1	2	3	4	5
10. The oral delivery of the presentation was effective (the presenter was loud and clear, had a good consistent pace).	1	2	3	4	5

Total: _____

Feedback to student:

Spring 2020 Purdue Undergraduate Research Conference

Feedback continued...