| Performance Criteria | Attribute | Performance Level | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Design Process Stage | | Low = 1 (6 or below pts) | Medium= 2 (7 or 8 pts.) | High= 3 (9 or 10 pts.) | Score |
| Problem Definition: | Intensity | The notebook contains a | The notebook contains a design | The notebook contains a design | |
| The student will | (clarity and | design problem statement | problem statement provides a | problem statement provides a | |
| provide the | relevancy) | requires further explanation | limited explanation of the problem | clear explanation of the | |
| identification of the | | of the problem and lacks | but provides rationale of the need | problem and provides in-depth | |
| need and rationale | | strong rationale of the need | using limited creditable sources. | rationale of the need with | |
| for the solution to a | | and no creditable sources are | | multiple creditable sources | |
| design problem. | | cited. | | cited. | |
| | | | | | |
| Brainstorming/ idea | Amount | The notebook contains <u>15</u> or | The notebook contains <u>35</u> or more | The notebook contains <u>50</u> or | |
| generation: | (breadth) | more preliminary design ideas | preliminary design ideas to solve | more preliminary design ideas | |
| The student will | | to solve the problem. <u>2</u> ideas | the problem. <u>5</u> ideas will be further | to solve the problem. <u>10</u> ideas | |
| provide preliminary | | will be further explored | explored through design sketches | will be further explored | |
| ideas to help solve | | through design sketches and | and detailed descriptions. | through design sketches and | |
| the design problem | | detailed descriptions. | | detailed descriptions. | |
| - | | | | | |
| Research: | Amount | The notebook contains little | The notebook contains some | The notebook contains | |
| The student will | (breadth) | or no evidence of U.S. patent | evidence of U.S. patent searches of | extensive evidence of U.S. | |
| conduct extensive | | searches of existing solutions | existing solutions and some | patent searches of existing | |
| research on the | | and little or no verifiable facts | verifiable facts of the design | solutions and multiple sources | |
| design problem and | | of the design problem and | problem and some industry | of verifiable facts of the design | |
| possible design | | some industry standards | standards presented for possible | problem and multiple industry | |
| solutions | | presented for possible | solutions. Limited focus group, | standards presented for | |
| | | solutions. No evidence of | survey, or interviews conducted | possible solutions. Multiple | |
| | | focus group, survey, or | (empathy techniques). The | sources of focus groups, | |
| | | interviews conducted. | notebook contains some important | interview, and/or surveys | |
| | | No details how and why the | details of how and why the | conducted with stakeholders | |
| | | constraints and criteria were | constraints and criteria were | (empathy techniques). The | |
| | | identified. | identified. | notebook contains all | |
| | | | | important details of how and | |
| | | | | why the constraints and criteria | |
| | | | | were identified. | |

| Constraints and Criteria: | Accuracy | The notebook contains a few | The notebook contains some | The notebook contains all | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| The student will identify | (frequency) | constraints and criteria for | constraints and criteria necessary | necessary constraints and | |
| all constraints and | | the designed solution but | for the designed solution and | criteria for designed solutions | |
| design criteria for the | | limited or no rationale for | provided some rationale for the | and provided clear rationale for | |
| designed solution | | the constraints and criteria. | constraints and criteria. | the constraints and criteria later | |
| | | | | to be used to assess the final | |
| | | | | design decision. | |
| Generate possible | Amount | The notebook contains a few | The notebook contains some | The notebook contains multiple | |
| solutions: | (breadth) | possible solutions are | possible solutions presented with | possible solutions that are | |
| The student will develop | | generated considering size of | consideration of size of design | appropriate for the skill level; | |
| multiple solutions to the | | design team and time | team, feasibility for the course, and | time allotted; and use of | |
| identified design | | allotted solutions are not all | design team skill level. | available resource. Proper | |
| problem. | | feasible for the course or skill | | analyses of the solution are | |
| | | level of design team. | | considered in the final design | |
| | | | | selection. | |
| Analysis (including | Accuracy | The notebook contains a | The notebook contains rationale | The notebook contains rationale | |
| optimization, decision) | (frequency) | rationale for final design | for final design solution evaluated | for final design solution | |
| The student provides a | | solution evaluated against | against most identified constraints | evaluated against all identified | |
| rationale for selecting a | | some but not all identified | and criteria. Some use of data to | constraints and criteria. Solution | |
| solution evaluated | | constraints and criteria. | make informed decisions about the | is selected by using Multiple | |
| against identified | | Limited use of data to make | selection of a design solution. | data driven decisions such as- | |
| constraints and criteria | | informed decisions about the | | Instructor List specific analysis: | |
| and using data to make | | selection of a design solution. | | | |
| design decisions such as | | | | | |
| numerical or computer- | | | | | |
| generated simulations | | | | | |
| (ie: FEA, free body | | | | | |
| diagrams, product life | | | | | |
| cycle, decision matrix, | | | | | |
| ata) | | | | | |

| Prototype : | Intensity | The notebook contains | The notebook contains evidence | The notebook contains evidence | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| The students create a | (clarity and | evidence that the prototype | that the prototype meets most | that the prototype meets all | |
| working model that | relevancy) | meets some specifications. | specifications with moderate | specifications identified with | |
| demonstrates the | | Prototype has limited | functionality. Most materials and | complete functionality. All | |
| functionality of the | | functionality. Random or | construction are appropriate for | materials used and construction | |
| designed solution. | | inappropriate use of building | prototype. Some manufacturing | techniques are appropriate for a | |
| | | materials. Limited or no | and safety building standards are | quality prototype and all | |
| | | manufacturing standards | addressed. | manufacturing and safety | |
| | | | | standards are addressed. | |
| Testing: | Accuracy | The notebook contains | The notebook contains evidence | The notebook contains evidence | |
| The student will conduct | (frequency) | evidence that the no testing | that prototype tests were | that prototype tests were | |
| appropriate testing of the | | was done or prototype tests | conducted yielding evidence of the | conducted yielding strong | |
| prototype to assess the | | yielding limited or no | performance of the design solution | evidence of the performance of | |
| quality, safety, and | | evidence of the performance | based upon some identified | the design solution based upon | |
| functionality of the design | | of the design solution based | constraints and criteria and | all identified constraints and | |
| solution | | upon identified constraints | included evidence of meeting some | criteria and included evidence of | |
| | | and criteria. Manufacturing | manufacturing and safety | meeting all manufacturing and | |
| | | and safety standards were | standards. | safety standards. When | |
| | | not considered in prototype | | appropriate tests yielded | |
| | | testing. | | numerical data, field notes, | |
| | | | | stakeholder surveys. | |

| Specification: | Intensity | 2D or isometric drawing of | Parametric modeling drawing of | Parametric modeling drawing of | |
|----------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| The students will provide | (clarity and | solution. Incomplete parts | solution and 2 D drawings of | solution and 2 D drawings of | |
| detailed specifications of | relevancy) | list and materials list. The | prototype. Complete parts list and | prototype. Complete parts list | |
| the final design by | | process flow chart | materials list, but limited details. A | and materials list, including data | |
| providing design | | documenting the | complete process flow chart | safety sheets, product life cycle | |
| drawings, parts list, and | | construction is incomplete. | documenting step by step | details, manufacturing codes. A | |
| documentation of | | Limited documentation of | construction. Documentation of | complete process flow chart | |
| construction process. | | Equipment used. | Equipment used. | documenting step by step | |
| | | | | construction with photos of the | |
| | | | | manufacturing process in action. | |
| | | | | Documentation of equipment | |
| | | | | used and details of custom jigs if | |
| | | | | required. | |

| Notebook Rules: The student will provide an engineer's notebook that follows standard rules and procedures of | Accuracy (frequency) | The student's notebook contains more than three engineer's notebook rule violations. The notebook is missing essential notebook entries and the notebook | The student's notebook contains up to three engineer's notebook rule violations. Entries are neat and legible and contain all the essential notebook entries. | The student's notebook is organized and formatted properly according to the engineer's notebook rules, No rules are violated. Entries are neat and legible and |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| engineering design | | is poorly organized. | Additional rules violated | contain all the essential |
| Complete description | | Additional rules violated | include: | notebook entries. |
| of note booking rules | | | | |
| are provided by the | | | | |
| instructor. (See Kelley, 2011) | | | | |
| 2011) | | | | Total |
| | | | | |
| Instructor Notes: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |