



USDOT Region V Regional University Transportation Center Final Report

NEXTRANS Project No 083PY04

Using Regional Freight Traffic Assignment Modeling to Quantify the Variability of Pavement Damage for Highway Cost Allocation and Revenue Analysis

By

Jackeline Murillo-Hoyos
Anwaar Ahmed
Graduate Students
School of Civil Engineering
Purdue University
anwaar@purdue.edu

and

Samuel Labi, Principal Investigator
Associate Professor
School of Civil Engineering
Purdue University
labi@purdue.edu

Report Submission Date: December 31, 2014



DISCLAIMER

Funding for this research was provided by the NEXTRANS Center, Purdue University under Grant No. DTRT07-G-005 of the U.S. Department of Transportation, Research and Innovative Technology Administration (RITA), University Transportation Centers Program. The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the information presented herein. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation, University Transportation Centers Program, in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.



USDOT Region V Regional University Transportation Center Final Report

TECHNICAL SUMMARY

NEXTRANS Project No 083PY04

Final Report, December 31

Using Regional Freight Traffic Assignment Modeling to Quantify the Variability of Pavement Damage for Highway Cost Allocation and Revenue Analysis

Introduction

While indicative of a vibrant economy, large volumes of freight traffic have been associated with accelerated wear of pavements particularly. In seeking to adopt operational policies that reduce undue deterioration of their infrastructure, state highway agencies in the United States strive to quantify the damage caused by vehicle loads so that it is possible to update loading polices and to implement fee structures that are commensurate with the pavement damage.

An INDOT-commissioned research study, SPR 3502, provided a methodology to estimate the pavement damage costs. That study reported these costs on the basis of systemwide average levels of traffic loading. In reality, however, traffic loading and climatic severity at specific road segments can differ significantly from what their systemwide averages suggest. This Nextrans study therefore investigated the issue of pavement damage cost estimation from a purely disaggregate level in order to establish potentially more reliable estimates of pavement damage costs. It is envisaged that doing so would not only increase the efficiency and effectiveness but also would enhance equity in the highway cost allocation and revenue generation.

To address the issue at a disaggregate level, the study first established more reliable projections of highway freight traffic volumes at each individual pavement segment on the highway network using the results from a freight assignment and volume prediction tool. Next, for each road segment the expected axle loadings on the basis of the projected traffic volumes, were calculated and the expected pavement damage costs were determined from the expected level of truck volume (and thus, estimated loading). Further, the study quantified the deviation, for each pavement segment, of the damage cost using disaggregate and aggregate approaches.

Findings

To address the issue at a disaggregate level, the study first established more reliable projections of highway freight traffic volumes at each individual pavement segment on the highway network using the results from a freight assignment and volume prediction tool. Next, for each road segment, the expected axle loadings on the basis of the projected traffic volumes, were

calculated. Then the expected pavement damage costs were determined from the expected loadings. Further, the study quantified the deviation, for each pavement segment, of the damage cost using disaggregate and aggregate approaches.

Recommendations

The research product can be used to estimate the cost of pavement damage for individual pavements section on a state highway network. This can be done using the expected axle loadings on the basis of the projected traffic volumes. The deviation of pavement damage costs at each pavement segment relative to the aggregate damage cost reported all pavements, can be quantified. Thus, the dangers of using aggregate estimates for pavement damage cost, can be demonstrated.

Contacts

For more information:

Samuel Labi
Principal Investigator
Civil Engineering
Purdue University
labi@purdue.edu

NEXTRANS Center
Purdue University - Discovery Park
2700 Kent B-100
West Lafayette, IN 47906
nextrans@purdue.edu
(765) 496-9729
(765) 807-3123 Fax
www.purdue.edu/dp/nextrans

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors hereby acknowledge the funding support provided by NEXTRANS, the USDOT Region V Regional University Transportation Center, located in West Lafayette, Indiana. The authors are particularly grateful to Professor Srinivas Peeta, the Director of NEXTRANS Center, Dr. Rick Evans, the Managing Director, and Ms. Nija Phelps, the secretary, for their immense support and assistance, in many ways, throughout the conduction of this research study. We are also grateful to graduate students Matthew Volovski, Nathee Athigakunagorn, and Tariq Usman Saeed for their assistance in processing parts of the data.

CONTENTS

	Page
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.1 Problem Statement and Study Objective	2
1.2 Organization of this Report	4
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.2 Past Studies Motivated By Pavement Cost Allocation (PCA) ...	5
2.3 Studies Motivated By Pavement Damage Cost Estimation	7
2.4 Summary and Discussion	13
CHAPTER 3 ESTIMATION OF TRAFFIC LOADING.....	20
3.1 Introduction	20
3.2 Traffic Estimates	21
3.3 Traffic Growth Factor	22
3.3 Traffic Loading Estimation	23
CHAPTER 4 LIFE-CYCLE ACTIVITY SCHEDULES FOR PAVEMENT RECONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION AND MAINTENANCE	25
4.1 Introduction	25
4.2 Formulating MR&R Activity Schedules	25
4.3 The Effect of Discounting over Pavement Life Cycle	30
CHAPTER 5 COSTS AND SERVICE LIVES OF MR&R TREATMENTS.....	31
5.1 Introduction	31
5.2 Pavement Families for this Study	31
5.3 The Cost of MR&R Activity Schedules	31

CHAPTER 6	ESTIMATING THE COSTS OF PAVEMENT DAMAGE	36
	6.1 Introduction and Overview	36
	6.2 Data Collection and Collation	37
	6.3 Model Development	38
	6.4 Model Results and Discussion	40
	6.5 Estimation of Marginal Pavement M&R Cost	45
	6.6 Application of the Model	45
CHAPTER 7	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS....	54
REFERENCES	57
APPENDICES	62

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
Table 2.1:	Past HCA Studies – Methods & Cost Allocators	14
Table 2.2:	A Synthesis of PDC Estimation Studies based on Indirect Approach ...	15
Table 2.3:	A Synthesis of PDC Estimation Studies based on Empirical Approach	16
Table 2.4:	Studies Using Miscellaneous Methods for PDC Estimation	17
Table 3.1:	AADT and Truck AADT – Summary Statistics	21
Table 3.2:	Average Truck Class Percentages on the Highway Functional Classes..	22
Table 3.4:	ESAL Factors for Different Highway Functional Classes	24
Table 4.1:	Standard Treatments at a Typical Highway Agency	29
Table 4.2:	Pavement Performance Standards at a Typical Highway Agency	29
Table 5.1:	Service Lives of Typical Standard Treatments	32
Table 5.2	Flexible Pavement Treatment Costs	33
Table 5.3	Rigid Pavement Treatment Costs	34
Table 6.1	Summary Statistics of Key Traffic and Climatic Variables	38
Table 6.2	Estimation Results of Random- And Fixed-Parameter Linear Models ..	41
Table 6.1	Basic Data on the Pavement Segments.....	47
Table 6.2	Pavement Segments: Estimated Traffic Percentages by Vehicle Class .	48
Table 6.3	Pavement Segments: Estimated Traffic Volume by Vehicle Class	49
Table 6.4	Pavement Segments: Estimated Annuals ESALs by Vehicle Class.....	47

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
Figure 1.1: Overall Study Framework.....	3
Figure 2.1: Contexts of Pavement Damage Cost Estimation	5
Figure 6.1: Random Parameter Distribution – Total Traffic (ESALs)	42
Figure 6.2: Random Parameter Distribution – Total Precipitation	43
Figure 6.3: Random Parameter Distribution – Microsurfacing Treatment	44
Figure 6.4: Scatter Plot of Cost per lane-mile of Pavement Damage by Road Class	51
Figure 6.5: Scatter Plot of Pavement Damage Cost Estimates by Road Class	52
Figure 6.6: Probability Distribution of Pavement Damage Cost Estimates	53