

Palm Orientation Errors Are Characteristic of Deaf Children with Autism

Aaron Shield¹ & Richard P. Meier²

Department of Psychology, The University of Chicago and Departments of Linguistics and Psychology, The University of Texas at Austin. Address for correspondence: ashield@uchicago.edu

Introduction

- > Multiple studies in the 1970's (Bonvillian et al., 1981) focused on the ability of hearing children with autism to learn signs as an alternative to speech.
- > Yet, very little research has investigated the linguistic abilities of deaf individuals on the autism spectrum - particularly nativesigning children exposed to sign since birth.

Motivation

- 1. Sign requires learners to match their own body movements to those of others ("self-other mapping"; Rogers & Pennington, 1991).
- 2. Self-other mapping is believed to be impaired in autism (Williams et al. 2004)
- 3. We hypothesize that such a deficit will lead to articulatory/phonological errors in sign production

Hypothesis and Predictions

- > If signer and addressee are facing each other (as is typical), then each sees a different side of the hand.
- > Impaired self-other mapping ability will lead to palm orientation reversals on signs specified for inward or outward orientations.
- > Such "reversal errors" have been found in several studies on the imitation skills of hearing children with autism (Brown, 1996: Hobson & Lee, 1999; Ohta, 1987); however, these kinds of errors are not found frequently in the typical development of signing children.

Examples

The ASL sign WEDNESDAY is produced with an inward palm orientation.

- > Addressee sees back of hands rather than palms.
- > A self-other mapping failure by the sign-learning child - i.e., reproducing the sign as it appears from his own perspective - could lead to production of the sign with an outward-facing palm



The ASL sign TOILET is produced with an outward palm orientation



- > Addressee sees palm of hand rather than back of
- > A self-other mapping failure by the sign-learning child could lead to production of the sign with an inwardfacing palm.

Line drawings of citation form signs reproduced from Humphries. Padden & O'Rourke (1980)

Subjects

- > Ten native-signing children with autism (ages 4;7-16;3).
- > Prior diagnosis of autism with validated instrument (CARS, GARS)
- > Control group: 13 typically-developing (TD) native-signing children (ages 3:7-6:9: M=4:9).

Age	Sex	Hearing Status
4;7	М	Deaf
5;1, 6;6	M	CODA
5;8	M	Deaf
7;2	M	Deaf
7;5, 8;11	M	Deaf
9;3	F	Deaf
11;9	F	Deaf
12;8	M	Deaf
14;1	M	Deaf
16;3	M	Deaf
	4;7 5;1, 6;6 5;8 7;2 7;5, 8;11 9;3 11;9 12;8 14;1	4;7 M 5;1,6;6 M 5;8 M 7;2 M 7;5,8;11 M 9;3 F 11;9 F 12;8 M 14;1 M

Table of Subjects with Autism. *All names are pseudonyms

Tasks

- 1. Naturalistic pilot study: videotaped classroom observation.
- 2. Elicitation task using picture stimuli of 24 basic lexical signs. Test items: inward/outward palm orientation. Control items: up/down palm orientation.
- 3. Fingerspelling task of 8 English words.
- 4. Imitation task of 24 ASL-like nonsense signs Test items: inward/outward palm orientation. Control items: up/down palm orientation.

- Autistic subjects made palm orientation errors on all four tasks.
- > Errors were primarily produced by autistic children under age 10.
- ▶ Both kinds of reversals (inward → outward AND outward → inward) were observed. Thus, an articulatory explanation is implausible.

Error Examples - SPONTANEOUS ERRORS



Inward palm orientation on the letter S (far left). produced by Ruben (age 4;7). Outward palm orientation on the letter H (left), produced by Brock



Inward palm orientation on SEVEN (above), produced by Ruben (age 4;7).



Inward palm orientation on TURTLE (above), produced by Raymond (age 5:8)

ELICITED PALM ORIENTATION ERRORS







Citation form.

Group	TEST	CONTROL
Autism (N=10)	6/40 (15%)	1/40 (2.5%)
ypically-Developing (N=13)	1/52 (1.9%)	2/52 (3.8%)

Number of palm orientation errors (rates in parentheses) on the Elicitation Task

- > The difference in error rate between the autism group and TD group approached significance (p = .079).
- Few errors overall, probably because these signs are learned early

FINGERSPELLING ERRORS

- > Four of the five youngest autistic children showed a tendency to reverse their nalm orientation while fingersnelling
- > None of the typically-developing control subjects reversed palm orientation while fingerspelling.
- > This error type has not been reported in the literature on the typical acquisition of fingerspelling.



The English word "table" fingerspelled with inward palm orientation by Cameron (8;11, top) and Raymond (5;8, bottom).

IMITATION ERRORS

٠	Group	TEST	CONTROL
	Autism (N=6)	8/18 (44%)	3/24 (13%)
	Typically-Developing (N=12)	2/36 (6%)	3/48 (6%)

Number of palm orientation errors (rates in parentheses) on the Imitation Task

> The autism group made significantly more palm orientation errors than the TD group on the imitation of nonsense signs



A palm orientation reversal error on the imitation of a nonsense sign produced by Brock (age 6:6).

Discussion and Conclusions

- Given the following converging pieces of evidence:
- o Inward/outward palm orientation reversals do not appear frequently in typical acquisition of signed languages.
- o A number of different native-signing children with autism made palm orientation reversal errors across a variety of
- o Current findings are consistent with previous studies on hearing autistic gestural imitation.
- We conclude that inward/outward palm orientation reversal errors are characteristic of and unique to autism.

Future Studies

- > Identification of cognitive mechanism(s) responsible:
- o Is this related to impaired theory of mind?
- o Which components of self-other mapping are impaired?
- > Probing of other grammatical structures that may be impaired in the signing of deaf children with autism:
 - Classifier constructions
 - o Directional verb agreement
- Pronominal reference
- Facial grammar

References

Bonvillian, J., K. Nelson, & J. Rhyne (1981). Sign language and autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 11 (1), 125-137.

Brown, J. D. (1996). Imitation, play and theory of mind in autism: An observational and experimental study. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University of St. Andrews, 2004. Polychology bloom, R.P., & Lee, A. (1999). Imitation and identification in autism. *Journal of Child Psychology*

and Psychiatry, 40, 649-659.

Humphries, T., Padden, C., & O'Rourke, T.J. (1980). A Basic Course in American Sign Language.

Humphries, T., Padden, C., & O'Rourke, T.J. (1980). A Basic Course in American Sign Language. Silver Spring, MD: T.J. Publish of infantile autism: A study employing the WISC, spatial Onta, M. (1987). Cognitive disorders of infantile autism: A study employing the WISC, spatial Disorders, 17, 45–42.
Rogers, S. J., & Pennington, B. F. (1991). A theoretical approach to the deficits in infantile autism. Development and Psychophology, 3, 137–162.
Williams, J. H., Whäten, A., & Singh, T. (2004). A systematic review of action imitation in autistic spectrum disorder. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 34, 285–299.

Acknowledgments

This research was conducted as part of Aaron Shield's doctoral dissertation in linguistics at the University of Texas at Austin under the supervision of Richard P. Meier. Support was provided from an NSF Dissertation Improvement Grant (Pl: Richard P. Meier) and a Predoctoral Fellowship from Autism Speaks. We thank the parents. children, and staff at the Texas, Ohio, Indiana, and Iowa Schools for the Deaf, the Minnesota State Academy for the Deaf and the Learning Center for Deaf Children for their collaboration.