UNIVERSITY SENATE

Sixth Meeting, Monday 16 April 2007, 2:30 p.m. Room 302, Stewart Center

AGENDA

12. Adjournment

1. Call to order Professor Bernard Y. Tao 2. Approval of Minutes of 19 March 2007 3. Acceptance of Agenda 4. Remarks by the President President Martin C. Jischke 5. Reports of the Chairperson & Vice Chairperson Professor Bernard Y. Tao Professor George M. Bodner 6. Resume of Items Under Consideration For Information by Various Standing Committees Professor Ralph Webb 7. Question Time 8. University Senate Document 06-9 For Action Nominees for University Senate Standing Committees Professor Natalie J. Carroll For Information **University Senate Report 06-1** Annual Report of the Athletic Affairs Committee Professor Mark Bowman 10. New Business 11. Memorial Resolutions

<u>Note:</u> The annual reports of the chairs of the Educational Policy Committee, the Faculty Affairs Committee, the Resources Policy Committee and the Student Affairs Committee will be found in Appendix D.

UNIVERSITY SENATE

Sixth Meeting, Monday, 16 April 2007, 2:30 p.m. Room 302, Stewart Center

Present: President Martin C. Jischke, Bernard Y. Tao, (Chairperson of the Senate) presiding, Professors Jai P. Agrawal, Ann W. Astell, Thomas Bauman, Alan M. Beck, Rodney J. Bertolet, John E. Blendell, J. Stuart Bolton, Mark D. Bowman, Stephen R. Byrn, Joseph W. Camp Jr. (Secretary of Faculties and Parliamentarian), Natalie J. Carroll, Ann M. Clark, Raymond A. DeCarlo, Nancy E. Edwards, Richard O. Fanjoy, Scott L. Feld, Jonathan R. Foltz, Greg N. Frederickson, Joan R. Fulton, Alten F. Grandt, James P. Greenan, Nathan W. Harter, Sally A. Hastings, L. Tony Hawkins, Takashi Hibiki, William J. Hutzel, Douglass F. Jacobs, James L. Jenkins, Vicki J. Killion, Robert A. Kubat, Christine M. Ladisch, Daniel E. Leaird, Morris Levy, Andrew U. Luescher, Scott Mandernack, Sally Mason, James D. McGlothlin, William D. McInerney, Marriner H. Merrill, P. Jane Morris (Sergeant at Arms), Rabindra N. Mukerjea, Cindy H. Nakatsu, Morgan R. Olsen, Carolyn C. Perrucci, Gintaras V. Reklaitis, Alvsa C. Rollock, Carolyn Roper, Margaret M. Rowe, F. Robert Sabol, Charles R. Santerre, John A. Sautter, A. Paul Schwab, Keith E. Schwingendorf, Thomas M. Sellke, Louis A. Sherman, Timothy L. Skvarenina, Elizabeth J. Taparowsky, Alain S. Togbe, Marion T. Trout, Samuel S. Wagstaff, Val J. Watts, Ralph Webb Jr., Charles W. White, David J. Williams, G. Thomas Wilson and Yuehwern Yih.

Absent: Professors Olayiwola Adeola, Howard Adler, Christopher R. Agnew, James C. Becker, Antonio Bobet, George M. Bodner, Charles A. Bouman, Lawrence W. Braile, James E. Braun, Donald D. Buskirk, Yan Chen, Wei K. Cui, Lawrence P. DeBoer, John P. Denton, Joseph C. Dorsey, Jean E. Dumas, John G. Graveel, Chong Gu, Gerald C. Hyner, Robert J. Joly, Wayne W. Kjonaas, Ananthanarayan Krishnan, William J. Kross, Michael L. Leasure, Julie R. Mariga, William G. McCartney, Mark T. Morgan, Mark M. Moriarty, Mary B. Nakhleh, Ganesan Narsimhan, Frank V. Paladino, Barry R. Pittendrigh, Kenneth E. Rennels, J. Paul Robinson, Tom B. Robinson, William T. Robinson, Jie Shen, Victor J. Shires, Marcia C. Stephenson, A. Charlene Sullivan, Volker K. Thomas, Phillip J. VanFossen, H. Lee Weith, W.J. Zinsmeister and Michael D. Zoltowski.

Guests: Morgan Burke, Holly Mason, Brandon Oliver, Amy Raley, and Brian Wallheimer.

- 1. The meeting was called to order by the chairperson of the senate, Professor Bernard Y. Tao at 2:35 p.m.
- 2. The minutes of the meeting of 19 March 2007 were approved as distributed.
- 3. The agenda was accepted as proposed.
- 4. President Martin C. Jischke presented remarks to the University Senate (see Appendix A).
- 5. Professor Bernard Y. Tao presented the report of the Chairperson (see Appendix B). Vice Chairperson Bodner had planned on giving a report about activities of the Indiana Commission for Higher Education, but was unable to attend the meeting. His report will be presented in the fall semester.
- 6. Professor Ralph Webb and Professor Tao presented the Resume of Items Under Consideration by Various Standing Committees (see Appendix C). Professor Natalie

Carroll, Chair of the Nominating Committee, gave a brief presentation of a new web site that she is helping to develop for the Senate. This web site will be used by the Nominating Committee in the future to help determine committee assignments for University Senate standing committees as well as faculty committees. When the site goes live in the fall of 2007, faculty members will be able to specify the committees they currently serve on and those on which they wish to serve.

Professor Morris Levy, Chair of the University Resource Policy Committee, updated the Senate on two issues his committee has worked on recently. First, they decided that graduate students should be invited Spring Fling as this very large group of university employees has never been officially invited. Second, the committee had received petitions from faculty members in the College of Agriculture specifying complaints about their dealings with the Physical Facilities unit of the university. Representatives from both sides of the issues have been in discussion during the committee meetings to help resolve the issues. The committee will continue to meet through the summer to try and resolve the issues to everyone's satisfaction.

- 7. At question time the secretary reported no questions had been submitted in writing and the chair invited questions from the floor. No questions were forthcoming.
- 8. Professor Natalie J. Carroll, Chair of the Senate Nominating Committee, presented University Senate Document 06-9, Nominees for University Senate Standing Committees for action. She called for nominations from the floor, but none were made. For the three vacancies on the Educational Policy Committee, she nominated Professors Steve Collicott (3), Andrew Luescher (3), and Glenn Sparks (3); for the five vacancies on the Faculty Affairs Committee, she proposed Professors Julie Mariga (1), Sally Mason (3), Elizabeth Taparowsky (2), Whitney Walton (3), and David Williams (3); for the seven vacancies on the Student Affairs Committee, she nominated Professors Becky Brown (3), Martin Curd (3), James Greenan (3), William Hutzel (3), Gerald Hyner (2), Robert Joly (2), and G. Thomas Wilson (3); finally, for the five vacancies on the University Resources Policy Committee, she proposed Professors Charlotte Erdmann (3), Sally Mason (3), Alyssa Panitch (3), Cary Mitchell (3), and Howard Zelaznik (3); where in each case the number in parentheses following the name represents years of service. The motion was seconded and with no additional nominations coming from the floor, the aforementioned were elected by acclamation.
- 9. Professor Mark Bowman presented University Senate Report 06-1, *Report of the Athletic Affairs Committee*, for information. Professor Bowman summarized the report and responded to questions from the floor.
- 10. Under New Business Professor Joe Camp made a motion to adopt a resolution honoring President Jischke for his outstanding service to Purdue University over the last seven years.
- 11. There was one memorial resolution for Charles Lawrence, Professor Emeritus, School of Management.
- 12. The meeting adjourned at 3:30 p.m.

REMARKS BY PRESIDENT MARTIN C. JISCHKE

Time passes very quickly. Much too quickly. I am working as hard today as I have ever worked for this University. We still have goals to be accomplished. And we will reach them. At the same time, I am also aware that this is the last time I will appear before this University Senate as president of Purdue. It was a little less than seven years ago I first appeared before the Senate a new president still unsure if Fowler Hall was an auditorium or a building. I remember standing before you for the first time. It was an honor then. It is an honor now. I told you that Purdue was a great University. I told you that in fact it was the quality of the faculty that had played a significant role in our decision to come to Purdue. I told you that I was pleased to learn the quality of the faculty was even greater than I had anticipated. There is something in life you can be certain of when a person tells you how wonderful you are, especially a new president, there is always one word you will eventually hear. The word is: "But . . ."

When I first stood here before you in the fall of 2000 I said that Purdue was a great University. But — it could be even better. In fact, I said the time had arrived when we must transform our University for a new century. That message, which originated with the Board of Trustees, was amazingly well accepted by the people of Purdue. It was a big project. We drafted Strategic Plans. We launched a Campaign for Purdue. We announced an idea we had for a research, learning and engagement area now named Discovery Park.

Now our Strategic Plans are coming to an end. Our Campaign for Purdue has exceeded the \$1.3 billion first goal. It has exceeded the \$1.5 billion second goal. It has now reached \$1.54 billion with two and one half months to go. I believe we have transformed this University. And we have done it together. The reception that Patty and I received when we arrived at Purdue was incredible - incredibly warm and welcoming. We were immediately made to feel a part of this large Purdue family. I thank you for that. Thank you for helping us to get off to such a wonderful start.

Everything that has been accomplished since August of 2000 is thanks in large measure to this great faculty. You have worked incredibly hard. You have embraced change, which is not always easy to do. You believed that Purdue could move forward and you wanted to be part of this. Thank you for everything you have accomplished for our students and our state. The relationship between the faculty and my administration these past years, the relationship I have personally enjoyed with this Senate has been a key to our success. Without that collegial relationship we have enjoyed, there would be no Discovery Park today — no successful campaign for Purdue — no successful strategic plans.

Our working relationship has been essential toward establishing Purdue as a leader in learning, discovery and engagement. Look around you at Purdue today. Think about all that has been done in the past seven years. This is the product of our working together. Being president of Purdue has been the most satisfying experience of my professional life. And you, as the faculty and as the University Senate, have contributed tremendously to that. Thank you for the wonderful cooperation and professionalism that has made this experience so great. I have no second thoughts about this decision to step down as president. The demands of a job like this are such that you can't do other things you might want to do, things of a more personal nature — travel, for example, spend more time with family. Second, I believe I have done what I was brought to do. Purdue is very well poised at this point to move forward even further. One

leaves at some time or another. It is an inevitable thing. And my view is that really fine institutions, great institutions, manage these transitions thoughtfully and deliberately. You don't wait until something happens that forces a change. You accomplish change systematically. You provide opportunities for others to show leadership. It is a process of renewal. As you know, after being a University president or chancellor for more than 22 years, it's time for me to renew, personally. I think it's a good time for Purdue. I think it's a good time for me. I initially had a five-year contract and that was extended to seven. I have fulfilled my commitment. This is a good time for transition.

T. S. Eliot said "To make an end is to make a beginning. The end is where we start from." All endings are the beginning of something new. So Patty and I will begin our new life here in Lafayette as part of this community we have come to enjoy and love. I will be quite busy. I continue to serve on boards. There are a number of other activities I look forward to doing. I will always feel a part of this University. We have accomplished a great deal together. Even more must, and I believe will, be done in the years ahead. It is my hope that the legacy of these seven years is that we have positioned Purdue to reach even further for its full promise. My hope is that the legacy of our work together is a University that is truly a world-class international community of research, learning and understanding, impacting education and impacting economic development not only here in Indiana but beyond. To each of you, my personal thank you. All Hail from Old Purdue!

REPORT TO THE UNIVERSITY SENATE - PROFESSOR BERNARD Y. TAO

Good afternoon! I hope everyone had a good spring break! Congratulations to the women's basketball team on their Big Ten Championship and we look forward to their continued success in the NCAA postseason. Also, congratulations to the men's basketball team on an excellent season and an exciting return to NCAA postseason competition.

We have a full agenda today with elections and items for action, so I will limit my comments to the Senate today.

There has not been a Board of Trustees meeting since our last senate meeting, so there is no update from the BOT.

In case you may have been away from campus during the break, on Friday, March 16, a \$100 million endowment from the Alfred E. Mann Foundation was announced, which is the largest gift in the history of Purdue. This endowment is to enable more rapid commercialization of biomedical devices based on Purdue research.

Regarding the presidential search, activities are moving forward approximately on the original schedule envisioned.

As there are several items that will be brought up today regarding a number of topics, I will defer any comments on these to discussions later.

That concludes my report and I would be pleased to answer any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

Bernard Tao Chair, University Senate

Appendix C 16 April 2007

TO: University Senate

FROM: Ralph Webb, Chairperson, Steering Committee

SUBJECT: Resume of Items Under Consideration by the Various Standing Committees

STEERING COMMITTEE

Ralph Webb, Chairperson rwebb@purdue.edu

The primary responsibility of the Steering Committee is the organization and distribution of the agenda for each meeting of the University Senate. This committee also receives communications from any faculty member or group of members and directs such communications to appropriate committees or officers for attention.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Bernard Y. Tao, Chairperson of the Senate tao@purdue.edu

The responsibility of the University Senate Advisory Committee is to advise the President and/or Board of Trustees on any matter of concern to the faculty.

NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Natalie J. Carroll, Chairperson ncarroll@purdue.edu

The Nominating Committee is responsible for presenting nominations for the University Senate and University committees. In filling committee vacancies the Nominating Committee seeks to have all interested Senators serve on at least one committee.

EDUCATIONAL POLICY COMMITTEE

James D. McGlothlin, Chairperson jdm3@purdue.edu

- 1. Evening exams
- 2. Implementation of Redlining Policy
- 3. Student Attendance/Absence Policy
- 4. Faculty Control over University Curriculum
- 5. Teaching Evaluation

FACULTY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Mark T. Morgan, Chairperson mmorgan@purdue.edu

- 1. Post-tenure review and faculty development including mentoring procedures
- 2. Review of campus limits for non-tenure track clinical faculty
- 3. Privacy of personal health information
- 4. Clinical faculty policy on sabbatical leave
- 5. Review of Intellectual property policy revisions
- 6. Review of Purdue non-discrimination policies
- 7. University Promotion committee distribution of faculty representatives
- 8. Membership dues in professional organizations

STUDENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Mark D. Bowman, Chairperson bowmanmd@purdue.edu

- 1. Review of the Student Bill of Rights
- 2. Follow-up concerning the Student Conduct Code
- 3. Follow-up with Student Services Office concerning disciplinary process

UNIVERSITY RESOURCES POLICY COMMITTEE

Morris Levy, Chairperson levy0@purdue.edu

- 1. Faculty input into the budget process: Graduate staff fee structure & the Strategic plan
- 2. Review of campus energy sufficiency and other Physical Facilities operations
- 3. Review of Faculty Committees

Vice Chair of the Senate, George M. Bodner, gmbodner@purdue.edu Secretary of the Senate, Joseph W. Camp, Jr., jcamp@purdue.edu University Senate Minutes; http://www.purdue.edu/usenate

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COMMITTEE CHAIRPERSONS

University Senate Educational Policy Committee Annual Report 2006-2007

There were several issues addressed by the EPC this year including:

- Student Attendance/Absence Policy
- The Purdue Instructor Course Evaluation Service (PICES) System. On-Line and Traditional Teaching Evaluation Committee
- Evening exams (the EPC to develop guidelines for moving evening exams forward ½ hour to 6:30 10:00 pm. Presently the exams are from 7:00 10:30 pm. Safety is a concern for the students returning from evening exams.
- Implementation of Redlining Policy
- Faculty Control over Curriculum
- Evaluation of Honors Program

Carol Baird was the Chair of the EPC through December, 2006 then Jim McGlothlin was elected to Chair the EPC in January 2007 to the present. While there were several issues addressed by the EPC this year, the successful passage of the Student Attendance/Absence Policy was the highlight. This policy was unanimously passed by the Senate on March 19th, 2007. The Redlining issue was again addressed by the EPC after recommendations were submitted by the Implementation Committee. The latest challenge is the inability of the new Banner student system to implement the approved University Senate policy on Redlining. Basically, the Banner does not have the ability to record three separate grade point averages (semester index, graduation index (using only course grades that count toward an individual student's major), and a cumulative index). Because of this technical challenge, the EPC will have a meeting April 30th, 2007, with recommended actions to be presented to the Senate in the fall of 2007.

In other matters, Andrew Luescher (faculty rep), and Stephanie Linsenmeyer (student rep) were elected by the EPC to help develop and evaluate the new on-line teaching evaluation system titled: Purdue Instructor Course Evaluation Service System (PICES). This committee will make recommendations to the EPC then to the Senate in the 2007-2008 academic year. Guidelines for evening exam hours will be presented to the EPC by George Bodner and Jim McGlothlin at the April 30th, 2007 EPC meeting. The EPC hopes to get consensus about moving evening exam hours to end no later than 10:00 pm. The EPC will present their recommendations on evening exam hours to the Senate in the fall of 2007. Faculty control over the curriculum is an evolving and complex issue that will be addressed in the 2007-2008 academic year. Finally, the honors program, now in its second year, will be evaluated by the EPC in the fall of 2007. It was recommended that the honors program be evaluated by the EPC two years after it was created.

Generally, the EPC had a productive year with one major policy implemented by the Senate, the Student Attendance/Absence Policy. As noted above, the EPC has a full slate of important policy items for 2007-2008 and looks forward to serving the Senate and University in these matters.

Submitted by:

Jim McGlothlin

Chair, Educational Policy Committee, 2007-2008

Educational Policy Committee: Chair for 2006 - Carol Baird

University Resources Policy Committee (URPC) Annual Report 2006-2007

The URPC investigated several issues during the academic year. In chronological order these included:

- 1. Promoting the participation of graduate staff in Spring Fling activities
- 2. Revised installment payment plans for graduate staff fees
- 3. Safety issues related to parking in remote lots
- 4. Campus energy sufficiency
- 5. Print quota policies
- 6 Equal access/equal opportunity to graduate fellowship programs
- 7. Evaluating the graduate staff fee and stipend structure in regard to the Strategic Plan and competitiveness of graduate programs
- 8. Review of the campus Master Plan
- 9. Evaluations of petitions from College of Agriculture faculty requesting investigation of Physical Facilities practices, procedures and policies
- 10. Campus safety and video surveillance plans
- 11. Extended URPC deliberations during summer 2007

Graduate staff inclusion in Spring Fling 2007: In May 2006, Spring Fling announcements explicitly excluded grad staff (teaching and research assistants) from participation. The URPC contacted Carrie Hanson, organizer of Spring Fling and Thomas Ganz (Human Resource Services), to ask for reconsideration of this decision in the future given that Spring Fling serves as the annual celebration for employment at Purdue and that grad staff is among the largest groups of employees, albeit part-time. We also invited Ms. Hanson to address the URPC regarding Spring Fling policies. She appeared at our 8/28/06 meeting to explain the budgetary concerns about inviting grad staff but was receptive of committee (and Graduate School Deans) suggestions to reconsider this possibility. The URPC agreed to provide counsel to the Spring Fling Organizing Committee (SFOC) and also urged involvement of grad student government (PGSG). In subsequent months, Ms. Hanson obtained increased budget commitment from VP Morgan Olsen as well as participation of PGSG representatives on the SFOC and as volunteers for Spring Fling activities. Grad staff was formally invited to join in Spring Fling 2007, which by all accounts, was successful. We expect the new inclusive policy to continue.

Graduate fee installment payments: As part of our ongoing study of graduate staff stipends and fees, the URPC noted Bursar policy of charging \$30 for installment payment of the Fall semester fee (\$711-\$761) by incoming graduate students. We brought this to the attention of VP Morgan Olsen. In July 2006 the financing policy was changed to 1.5% interest on the unpaid balance. With students generally paying 40%, 30% and 30% of the fees, respectively, in three monthly installments the interest charged was reduced to less than \$7. The committee thanked VP Olsen for the financial consideration of the graduate students.

Safety issues in south remote parking lots: In September. 2006 grad students working in Lilly Hall and Hansen Research Building contacted the URPC with concerns about the safety outside

of business hours of the south remote "student C-permit" parking lots they use and a request to increase availability of B-permits for 50% FTE grad staff. We contacted Prof. Kathyrn Orvis, chair of the Parking & Traffic Committee, for information about these issues and the general status of parking on campus. At our 10/3/06 meeting Prof. Orvis and Donna Kemper, Manager of Parking Facilities, addressed the committee. The remote lot safety issues had been submitted to the Campus Safety Task Force; subsequent responses identified that properly lit walking paths do exist between the south remote lot and the Lilly/Hansen area but these are not the shortest routes to take during winter conditions. Emergency Telephone Services (ETS) are minimal on this route. However, because this area will be developed into new construction (Structural Biology and other buildings) soon there were no interim plans to upgrade the lighting/ETS in the area. Students will be urged to move their vehicles closer to their labs after 5 pm. Kemper reviewed how the number of parking permits was determined, the total number of parking spaces on campus, and the cost of different parking facilities. The committee inquired about the lack of disabled parking by Lambert Field House, various bicycle regulations and safety concerns for City Bus stopping points on campus. Parking & Traffic committee will investigate and report back to the URPC.

Campus energy sufficiency: On 10/2/06 VP Wayne Kjonaas, Director of Physical Facilities presented a detailed overview of campus utility status, including electricity generating capacity, coal and natural gas usage, heating and cooling capacity, site preparation for new buildings and well water status. The presentation added to the information he presented the previous Spring semester. In general summary, our overall energy usage is at (or nearly at) the limits of our generating capacity; unusually cold winters or hot summers will cause shortages and require temperature adjustments in various working environments based on a hierarchy of importance. Funding for additional generating equipment is needed, especially in sight of the increased construction on campus.

Print quota policy: Questions about the fairness of the new print quota system for copies made by students were raised at the September 2006 Senate meeting by Prof. Jim McGlothlin (Health Sciences) and referred to the URPC. At the 10/2/06 URPC meeting Prof. McGlothlin presented data from his HSCI 580 class indicating that the overall allocation for undergraduates (\$20 or 500 single page B&W copies) was not sufficient; graduate student allocations are \$40 or 1000 pages. Mr. Byron Reed (ITaP) provided detailed information on the history of instituting the quota policy to diminish abuse by some students (55,000 copies) and determining the quotas by screening student use. He reported that current quotas for undergraduates and graduates are sufficient for 90% and 85% of the students in those respective groups. URPC members questioned whether current web-based teaching practices would likely increase the need for copy making. Mr. Reed said that the situation was being monitored and that he would report on developments after another semester of evaluation. He supplied an update at our 4/2/07 meeting. As before, the print quota has significantly reduced the amount of student printing and seems to have caused problems for only a few students. ITaP is working with specific departments to fine-tune the quota so that there is no difficulty in printing based on class requirements. expected that these efforts will help both to contain costs and provide better printing services to the entire university population. ITaP will continue to provide the committee with further updates on request.

Minority graduate student application fee waivers, fellowships and equal access/ opportunity policy: Questions about the propriety of Graduate School policy of offering application fee waivers and fellowships exclusively for minority students were raised at the September 2006 Senate meeting by Prof. Tom Sellke (Mathematics). AT the 10/2/06 URPC meeting, Prof. Sellke presented information about fee application waivers for GEM Consortium, Project 1000 and CIC Fellowship programs, all of which were exclusive to racial/ethnic underrepresented minorities. Assistant Dean of the Graduate School Tom Atkinson informed the URPC that, in response to Prof. Sellke's earlier queries, the Graduate School had already altered its policy and removed/deactivated the aforementioned fee waivers. He also reported that Acting Dean of the Graduate School, Cindy Nakatsu, had transferred the fee waiver decisions from Multicultural Programs to the Graduate Admissions Office; fee waiver requests will now include require participation in Purdue's Summer Research Opportunity Programs, which include both targeted underrepresented minorities and scholastic merit prospects. Prof. Sellke continued to question Purdue's participation in the Gem Consortium Fellowship Program which is racially/ethnically exclusive and requires the University to both pay membership dues to GEM and assume financial responsibility for GEM Fellows after the first year. VP of Human Relations had previously responded to Prof. Sellke in writing that University legal counsel had advised that Purdue's participation in GEM was both legal and did not violate University equal access/opportunity policies.

At the March 2007 Senate meeting Prof. Sellke again presented his opposition to Purdue's involvement in the GEM Fellowships Program. The URPC thoroughly reviewed the issue at its 4/2/07 meeting, including having VP Rollock provide personal commentary on the legalities involved. The committee concluded that Purdue's participation in the GEM Program was one of several proper methods to improve diversity for students who inherently don't have equal access/opportunity to attend Graduate School. Further, prospective GEM Fellows are determined first by a Purdue admissions decision based on merit and not on GEM Fellowship criteria. In summary, the URPC agreed that Purdue's current participation in the GEM Fellowships was both legal and in the spirit of our equal access, equal opportunity mandate. While individual perspectives may differ with this view, the current policy is valid.

Graduate staff fee and stipend structure and the Strategic Plan: The URPC continued its evaluations of graduate salary structure following an informational presentation to the University Senate on 2/20/06. Mr. James David (Office of Financial Planning) attended our 1/02/07 meeting to provide updated numbers. Summarizing, as of Fall 2006, the grad staff appointment fee for all TAs and RAs increases by 6% (\$586 per semester plus \$293 for the summer) plus an R&R fee of \$125 per semester plus \$62.50 for the summer. The total annual fee for domestic grad students is \$1777.50; internationals pay \$150 more (\$1927.50 total) for SEVIS compliance. These fees approximate 10% or more of the pre-tax graduate student stipend; students also have tax liability on these mandated fees (i.e., the fees are not deductible from income for tax purposes.) Comparisons of average net TA and RA stipends place Purdue fourth and second, respectively, within the Big Ten. However, in several Science disciplines (e.g., Biological Sciences and Computer Sciences) the ranking is at or near the bottom. While there is need for comparative analyses of more departments across disciplines, there are indications that the fee and stipend structure is contributing to a reduction in the competitive status of our Graduate Program. On the other hand, the cost of a graduate education at Purdue ranks fifth in the Big Ten and is below the mean tutition and fees charged. The URPC will continue to monitor net compensation in the Big Ten and at peer institutions to evaluate whether anticipated grad staff fees undercut our ability to improve the size and quality of our graduate enrollment. On 3//7/07 Prof. Levy presented a seminar to PGSG entitled "Grad Staff Appointment Fess; Facts and Views."

Campus Master Plan: On 2/5/07 Mr. Larry Fusaro presented a comprehensive Powerpoint presentation on the Campus Master Plan, including detailed history of the Purdue master plans from 1925-1986 and of the current plan. This was then followed by a discussion of the current master plan that is effective through 2009. Of great interest, over 3 million gross square feet have been put online since 2001. Information on ideas for new roads, parking structures, walking campus, etc. through 2020 were also discussed. The complete Powerpoint presentation was made available to the URPC, which will continue to monitor progress.

College of Agriculture faculty petitions for investigation of Physical Facilities policies & practices: Prior to our 3/5/07 meeting Professor Levy received a petition signed by 80 faculty members of the College of Agriculture in regard to problems with Purdue University's Physical Plant. Professor George Parker from the Department of Forestry and Natural Resources was at the meeting to present the case for those signing the petition. Prof. Parker provided examples from his own experience, all of which involved matters at buildings off the main campus. The problems mentioned included excessive costs, unreasonable time required, problems in project design and construction, inconsistent supervision of projects on site, and lack of response to changes that might be needed in a project. Dr. Parker and the petitioners requested that a faculty subcommittee within the University Senate be established to investigate these issues.

After this presentation, Vice President Kjonaas, along with Owen Cooks from Physical Facilities, provided a response. VP Kjonaas indicated that: 1) among the 40,000 projects handled by Physical Facilities only 750 require design, 2) PF cost estimates must meet state requirements for managing public works that are above and beyond usual commercial construction (e.g., minimum number of bids) and that this also constrains response time, 3) the only surcharges that PF has are a 2% project managing fee and a 2% inspection fee, and 4) that fixed price estimates by PF do include a warranty. He also presented rebuttals for each of the projects presented by Prof. Parker. Both Dr. Parker and Vice President Kjonaas provided additional materials for the committee to analyze and it was agreed that we would return to this topic at our next meeting.

The discussion was continued at our 4/2/07 meeting. Prof. brought further documentation on points that he had made at the previous meeting: (1) P59-01 work requests for installation of downspouts at the Wright Forestry Center (\$14,400); (2) memoranda re delays and difficulties in Animal Care facility at the Purdue Wildlife Area; (3) installation of doors at the Wright Center that was denies by PF and never went forward: and (4) schematic plan for renovations at the Native American (Education and) Cultural Center with estimated cost of \$7,500 (incl. \$2,500 for design). Vice President Kjonaas responded with a very detailed rebuttal (Powerpoint and text documents) of most of the cases introduced by Prof. Parker. He began his presentation by reviewing general policies and state laws that pertain to construction and the stewardship mandates under which PF operates. He also described the various scopes of projects, by analogy with vehicle purchases of base minimum versus fully outfitted models. He also conveyed that PF is amenable to working with departments, etc. to employ outside contractors but always must maintain approval of design, materials, and workmanship as per state and Board of Trustees mandates.

There was extensive discussion of specific cases. However, the committee still was not clear on why design costs are so high or why they can be amended so dramatically. For example, Mr. Cooks noted that the Native American Center renovation expense eventually was reduced, in stages, to \$3,600 but without explaining how/why this reassessment was conducted or authorized. The notion that PF is amenable to bids by outside contractors, once proper procedures are followed, was also not the scenario several committee members had experienced. Many agreed that communications between departments and PF needed to be better and that having a knowledgeable departmental liaison (as in Biological Sciences) would be desirable.

VP Olsen aptly summarized the discussion as evidence of dissatisfaction between the customers and the service providers. Prof. Levy suggested that PF was likely to be underresourced given the volume of construction, R&R and maintenance demands currently required. There was no disagreement. Nonetheless, while the circumstances of individual situations can be debated, there is an obvious need for improving the clarity of the process of dealing with PF services. The committee decided to continue discussion of these issues.

Campus safety and video surveillance plans: After discussing safety issues in the Campus Master Plan in light of the Steffey tragedy, the committee contacted Director Carol Shelby (Environmental Health & Public Safety), regarding plans for improving campus surveillance. On 4/2/07 she reported that Purdue had just received a plan and commentary from an outside consultant company regarding video surveillance on campus. After reviewing these materials, she will report to the URPC next semester on planned improvements.

Extended URPC Deliberations During Summer 2007: The chair noted that the unresolved Physical Plant investigation (and potentially other matters) required much more study by the URPC. A motion to extend URPC deliberations to monthly meetings during the summer of 2007 was seconded and approved. The chair will arrange the scheduling.

The Officers of the URPC in 2007-2008 will be Morris Levy (Chair), Charles Santerre (Vice-Chair) and Louis Sherman (Secy.)

URPC Members 2006-2007

Thomas Bauman (tbauman@purdue.edu) Botany & Plant Pathology

Charles Bouman (bouman@purdue.edu) Electrical and Computer Engineering (Vice-Chair)

Steven Byrn (sbyrn@purdue.edu) Industrial & Physical Pharmacy

Qingyan Chen (yanchen@purdue.edu) Mechanical Engineering

Lawrence DeBoer (ldeboer@purdue.edu) Agricultural Economics

Greg N. Frederickson (frederig@purdue.edu) Computer Science

Wayne W. Kjonaas (wwkjonaas@purdue.edu) VPPF (advisor)

Bill Kross (kross@purdue.edu) Management

Morris Levy (levym@bilbo.bio.purdue.edu) Biological Sciences (Chair)

Sally F. Mason (sfmason@purdue.edu) Provost

Morgan Olsen (mrolsen@purdue.edu) EVP & TREAS (advisor)

Scott Patton (spatton@purdue.edu) PGSG

Chris Rhea (crhea@purdue.edu) PGSG

F. Robert Sabol (bobsabol@purdue.edu), Visual & Performing Arts

Charles Santerre (santerre@purdue.edu) Foods & Nutrition

Lou Sherman (Isherman@purdue.edu) Biological Sciences

Philip J. Van Fossen (vanfoss@purdue.edu) Curriculum & Instruction

Submitted by Morris Levy, Chair

University Senate Faculty Affairs Committee Annual Report 2006-2007

Report forthcoming.

University Senate Student Affairs Committee Annual Report 2006-2007

The Student Affairs Committee (SAC) met seven times during the 2006-2007 academic year. The SAC submitted one report to the University Senate, Document 06-1, the annual report on the academic status of student athletes at Purdue University. This report was prepared by John Graveel, the 2006/07 liaison to the Athletics Affairs Committee, and was presented to the Senate by Mark Bowman at the April 16, 2007 Senate meeting.

At the first meeting of the year, an open session was held to identify any particular needs or concerns that the committee needed to focus on during the upcoming academic year. Steven Akers expressed interest in a review of the Bill of Student Rights. Other topics identified included student safety, the impact of One Purdue and its implementation on class registration and student information, and student conduct.

During the course of the academic year the SAC heard a number of presentations regarding various topics that are of concern to student life and environment at Purdue University. Mr. Gary K. Evans, Chief of the Purdue University Police Department, provided an overview of student safety and crime on campus. He noted that there have been only four homicides at Purdue during the last twenty years. He also mentioned that a challenge in the near future will be responsibility for the "island" area just west of University Street, since the jurisdiction for that area is being passed from the West Lafayette Police Department to the Purdue Police Department.

Sandra Monroe, Assistant Vice President of Student Services, presented an update on the history and current state of student affairs at Purdue. She indicated that student affairs formally began around the turn of the 20th century as part of the effort to make the institution more humane. It was realized that there is a bridge that needs to be gapped between academic curriculum and student life. She noted that a great deal of change occurred in the post-World War II era when 2.2 million veterans returned from the war and enrolled in colleges on the G.I. Bill. The Student Affairs field became highly specialized in the 1950s with the introduction of the resident hall advisor, placement director, counseling center, Greek organization advisor, student health director, student activities director, intramural athletics director, the registrar, and the Dean of Men/Women. She indicated that today, there are approximately 750 student clubs available on campus, and that about one-third of the student population is involved in at least one student club.

Student conduct was a topic of discussion by the SAC. Marne Helgesen from the Center for Instructional Excellence provided a presentation on student conduct in the classroom and academic honesty. She cited a number of problems related to various topics including students publicly challenging the instructor during class, monopolizing classroom discussions, arriving to class late and leaving early, and cheating on exams. She indicated that the code book needs more information in it regarding how instructors can deal with students who are problematic. She also noted that while problems are often related to unacceptable student behavior, at times the root of the problem may be an instructor who grades capriciously and does not have the respect of the students.

John Sautter, Vice President of Housing and Food Services, addressed the Committee on the current status and future plans and directions for residential housing and food service at Purdue. Housing and food services play an integral role in the campus experience for many students; they have 800 full-time employees, 1200 student employees, and manage 40 percent of the campus square footage. Related community buildings include the Purdue Memorial Union, University Residences, and the Black Cultural Center. Ongoing major projects include the addition of student air conditioning, fire sprinklers, and the construction of the Wiley Dining Court.

John Graveel updated the SAC on the activities of the Athletic Affairs Committee during the last academic year and the current academic standing of the student athletes at Purdue. John indicated that the grade point average for the Purdue student-athletes during the Spring 2006 and the Fall 2006 semesters is above the all-campus grade point average. He also indicated that two new measures are being used by the NCAA to track academic progress: the GSR (graduation success rate) and the APR (academic progress rate). Purdue fared well for both measures in both men and women sports.

Submitted by Mark Bowman SAC Chair, 2006/07

CALENDAR OF STATUS OF LEGISLATION

SENATE DOCUMENT	TITLE	ORIGIN	SENATE
*06-1	Nominees for University Senate Standing Committees	University Senate Nominating Committee	Approved 9/11/06
*06-2	Changes to the Senate Bylaws	Professor Mark T. Morgan	Approved 11/20/06
*06-3	Reapportionment of the Senate	Professor Ralph Webb	Approved 11/20/06
*06-4	Nominees for Vice Chairperson of the University Senate	Professor Natalie J. Carroll	Approved 3-19-07
*06-5	Student Attendance Policy	Professor George M. Bodner	Approved 3-19-07
*06-6	Nominees for University Senate Steering and Nominating Committees	Professor Natalie J. Carroll	Approved 3-19-07
*06-7	Nominees for Faculty Committees	Professor Natalie J. Carroll	Approved 3-19-07
*06-9	Nominees for University Senate Standing Committees	Professor Natalie J. Carroll	Approved 4-16-07

^{*}Approved

SENATE REPORTS	TITLE	ORIGIN
06-1	Athletic Affairs Committee Report to the University	Athletic Affairs
	Senate, Spring 2006-07	Committee

University Senate Document 06-9

16 April 2007

TO: The University Senate

FROM: University Senate Nominating Committee

SUBJECT: Nominees for University Senate Standing Committees

REFERENCE: Bylaws of the University Senate Election by the University Senate

The Nominating Committee proposes the following slates of nominees for service on the University Senate Standing Committees listed below. The faculty members elected are to serve for the period shown within the parentheses following each name.

A. Educational Policy Committee

For the three vacancies, the proposed slate of nominees is Professors:

Steve Collicott (3) Aeronautics & Astronautics Andrew Luescher (3) Veterinary Clinical Sciences

Glenn Sparks (3) Communication

B. Faculty Affairs Committee

For the five vacancies, the proposed slate of nominees is Professors:

Julie Mariga (1) Computer & Information Technology

Sally Mason (3) Provost

Elizabeth Taparowsky (2) Biological Sciences

Whitney Walton (3) History

David Williams (3) Veterinary Clinical Sciences

C. Student Affairs Committee

For the seven vacancies, the proposed slate of nominees is Professors:

Becky Brown (3) Foreign Languages & Literature

Martin Curd (3) Philosophy

James Greenan (3) Curriculum & Instruction

William Hutzel (3) Mechanical Engineering Technology

Gerald Hyner (2) Health & Kinesiology

Robert Joly (2) Horticulture & Landscape Architecture

G. Thomas Wilson (3) Pharmacy Practice

D. University Resources Policy Committee

For the five vacancies, the proposed slate of nominees is Professors:

Charlotte Erdmann (3) Library Science

Sally Mason (3) Provost

Alyssa Panitch (3) Biomedical Engineering

Cary Mitchell (3) Horticulture & Landscape Architecture

Howard Zelaznik (3) Health & Kinesiology

Approving: (via email)

Natalie J. Carroll William D. McInerney Mary Nakhleh Margaret M. Rowe Jie Shen

University Senate Report 06-1

16 April 2007

TO: The University Senate FROM: Athletic Affairs Committee

SUBJECT: Athletic Affairs Committee Report to the University Senate, Spring 2006-07

REFERENCES: University Senate Document 90-31, 22 April 1991

DISPOSITION: University Senate for Information

Purdue University's commitment to the academic and social well being of its student-athletes is focused through the activities of the Athletic Affairs Committee (AAC) and Intercollegiate Athletics (ICA). This report will cover the two regular semesters in the year that has passed since the last report from the AAC: the Spring Semester of 2005-06 and the Fall Semester of 2006-07.

ACADEMIC STATUS OF STUDENT-ATHLETES

During the subject semesters, undergraduate enrollment at Purdue University totaled:

	Spring '05-06	Fall '06-07
Men:	16,616	18,138
Women:	<u>11,708</u>	<u>12,781</u>
Total:	28,324	30,919

Of these enrollments, student-athletes totaled:

Men:	269	309
Women:	<u>188</u>	<u>215</u>
Total:	457	524

One of the primary goals of ICA is to assist all student-athletes in achieving their full scholastic potential. This goal is being accomplished by ICA ensuring that ongoing support and services dedicated to academic excellence are freely available to all student-athletes. The Intercollegiate Athletics strategic planning document containing Vision, Mission, and Goals statements is appended hereto as Appendix 1. That portion of the Goals statement related specifically to academics states: "Student-athletes will be at or above the all-campus grade point average. Graduation rates will be at or above the all-campus average."

Specific objectives related to these goals include achievement of the stated performance levels for both the semester and the cumulative GPAs each semester. Details of the academic performance of student-athletes during the subject semesters, as compared to the corresponding university wide averages, is shown in the table below, including GPAs and other relevant statistics. It is noteworthy that the fall '06-07 semester was the 19th consecutive semester in which the cumulative GPA of Purdue's student-athletes exceeded the all-campus cumulative GPA.

Student-Athlete Academic Information				
Academic Performance Measures:	Spring '05-06	Fall '06-07		
Cumulative GPA				
Student-athletes	2.97	2.96		
All-campus	2.90	2.88		
Semester GPA				
Student-athletes	2.89	2.84		
All-campus	2.84	2.81		
Achievement of Semester GPA of 3.0 or higher				
Student-athletes	53.61% (245)	41.60% (218)		
All-campus	38.84%	43.67%		
Achievement of Academic Honors				
Student-athletes	28.01% (128)	24.24% (127)		
Dean's List and Semester Honors	(67)	(72)		
Semester Honors only	(46)	(40)		
Dean's List only	(15)	(15)		
All-campus	29.25%	27.91%		
Achievement of perfect 4.0 Semester GPA				
Student-athletes	9.85% (45)	7.63% (40)		
All-campus	2.01%	2.92%		
Placement on probation				
Student-athletes	7.22% (33)	6.30% (33)		
All-campus	7.75%	9.47%		
Drops from the University				
Student-athletes	1.31% (6)	0.57% (3)		
All-campus	3.13%	1.60%		

In support of its goal to achieve above average GPAs, ICA also wishes to promote the importance of its athletes bringing their academic careers to a successful conclusion by ensuring that every student has the best opportunity to graduate. To this end, the goal set by ICA as stated above is to achieve and maintain graduation rates for all student-athletes that are greater than, or equal to, the graduation rates for all Purdue students.

According to NCAA reporting requirements, for a student-athlete to be counted as a graduate in a cohort, he or she must graduate from the institution of initial enrollment (Purdue) within six years of original entry. The most recent cohort to have completed the six-year reporting period is that for 1999-00. For that cohort, 69% percent of student-athletes (men 59%, women 85%)

graduated within the six-year reporting period. Sixty-six percent of all Purdue students (men 66%, women 67%) graduated in the same time frame.

The 69% graduation rate for the Purdue student-athletes is above the 64% graduation rate for student-athletes in all Division 1A Schools. The Purdue graduation rates are greater than the rates for Division 1A Schools in men's baseball and basketball, men's and women's cross country and track and men's and women's other sports.

A related objective of ICA for student-athletes is that the 4-year moving average graduation rate for student-athletes exceeds that of the overall student body. The measure, which is less sensitive to short-term fluctuations than the yearly rates, is the average of the graduation rates for the four most recently completed 6-year cohorts, in this case the, 1996-97, 1997-98, 1998-99 and 1999-00 cohorts. This moving average was 70% for student-athletes and 65% for the student body.

For the past two years this information has been recorded by the NCAA as the Graduation Success Rate (GSR).

Note: In accordance with Federal reporting requirements which are adopted by the NCAA, student-athletes who transfer out are still in Purdue's figures; however, a transfer in does not count in Purdue's figures.

Appendix 2 summarizes the statistical report (data received from the Office of the Registrar), and compares the graduation rates for student-athletes to the rates for all Purdue students who entered the University in the academic year 1999-2000 and to the rates for NCAA Division 1A schools.

Note: Figures showing the distribution of student-athletes among the Schools of Purdue University during the fall 2006 semester appear in Appendix 6.

The GSR (Graduation Success Rate)

This is the second year Graduation Success Rate (GSR) is reported. The GSR is a new NCAA measurement that improves the federally mandated graduation-rate by including transfer data in the calculation. According to the NCAA it was developed to more accurately reflect the mobility among students in today's higher education climate. The GSR figures are higher than the graduation rates in the federal calculation because the federally mandated methodology counts all students who leave as non-graduates from their initial institution. The GSR value for Purdue is 79% and 78% for all Division I schools. It is worth noting that 91% of Purdue's student athletes complete their athletic eligibility at Purdue and graduate.

The APR (Academic Progress Rate)

This is the third year the NCAA is reporting the Academic Progress Rate (APR). The NCAA anticipates reporting data only on the basis of a four-year rolling rate for all sports when four years of data are available. The APR data for Purdue University Women's and Men's teams is listed in the table below. The APR is the real-time snapshot of every team's academic performance at a given time. An APR score of 925 is what teams must meet to avoid contemporaneous penalties. Teams below 925 will not be able to replace for one year the grants-in-aid of players who left as academic casualties during the previous academic year.

The APR is calculated by allocating points for eligibility and retention -- the two factors that research identifies as the best indicators of graduation. Each player on a given roster earns a maximum of two points per term, one for being academically eligible and one for staying with the institution. A team's APR is the total points of a team's roster at a given time divided by the total points possible. Since this result in a decimal number, the number is multiplied by 1,000 for ease of reference. Thus, a raw APR score of 0.925 translates into the 925 that become the standard terminology. The 925 approximates a 50% graduation rate (r^2 =0.5).

A "confidence boundary" or "eligibility zone," places a band around a team's actual APR score, for use during the period of time where there is only a limited amount of APR data. Thus, a team's upper confidence boundary would be the cut-off line for contemporaneous penalties (Gary T. Brown, NCAA News).

Contemporaneous penalties.

Because the contemporaneous penalties are meant to be a warning to those schools on the APR cusp and not a postseason ban, the CAP decided to limit the contemporaneous penalties that can be assessed to one team to about 10 percent of the team's financial aid limit. That includes rounding up to the next whole number for headcount sports. For example, in men's and women's basketball (both headcount sports), the maximum penalty would be two scholarships. In baseball (an equivalency sport with a maximum 11.7 grants-in-aid), the maximum penalty would be 1.17 scholarships.

The heavier penalties are the so-called "historically based" punishments that are based on a rolling four-year average APR. They include loss of scholarships, postseason bans and restricted membership in severe cases where academic performance lags over time. The historically based penalties target the chronic academic under-performers with hard-hitting disincentives, while the contemporaneous penalties are designed to more gently coax a larger portion of the academic distribution into increased performance (Gary T. Brown, NCAA News).

NCAA Division I APR (Women's)

	<u>Purdue</u>	NCAA Division I
Basketball	951	
Cross Country	1000	
Golf	988	
Soccer	972	
Softball	996	
Swimming	981	
Tennis	972	
Track, Indoor	967	

Track, Outdoor	967
Volleyball	1000

NCAA Division I APR (Men's)

	Purdue	NCAA Division I
Baseball	937	
Basketball	910+	
Cross Country	958	
Football	915+	
Golf	954	
Swimming	970	
Tennis	1000	
Track, Indoor	927	
Track, Outdoor	927	
Wrestling	947	

The 25/75 Club

The concept of "25/75" was developed and helped guide a comprehensive review of Athletics' Strategic direction. The "25/75" Club refers to an elite class of intercollegiate athletics departments. The term applies to those institutions that finish in the top 25 of the overall National Association of Collegiate Directors of Athletics (NACDA) Directors' Cup standings and graduate 75 percent of their student-athletes. The Directors' Cup is an award representing collective excellence in all varsity sports. Began in 1993-94 for Division I by NACDA and *USA Today*, it was expanded in 1995-96 to include Division II, III and the NAIA.

Purdue University is at the doorstep of the "25/75" Club. Over the next five years, energies will be focused on taking the next steps to national prominence. Appendix 3 contains information on the 2004-05 Directors' Cup and Graduation Rank. Purdue University is ranked 35/70 and that is 15 out of all division 1 schools (Appendix 3).

FACULTY OVERSIGHT OF ATHLETICS

Faculty oversight of ICA continues to be exercised through the AAC. The membership of the AAC, listed at the end of this report, continues to include representation from faculty, an appointed liaison from the Student Affairs Committee of the University Senate, alumni, citizens from the local community, Purdue University students, and ICA. The AAC has a charge to study, review and approve changes in rules and regulations affecting intercollegiate athletics programs, and to formulate positions with regard to legislation pending before the NCAA. The AAC also discusses current NCAA changes to regulations and proposals on diverse topics that will affect the status of both university sports programs and the eligibility of student-athletes.

Appendix 5 contains the minimum core-curriculum requirements for initial eligibility under a 14 core course rule and a 16 core course rule. Student-athletes first entering college on or after August 1, 2003 but before August 1, 2005 may meet initial-eligibility requirements under either the 13 core course rule or the 14 core course rule, while those student-athletes entering college on or after August 1, 2005 must meet the 14 core course rule. The 16 core course rule takes effect for those student-athletes entering college on or after August 1, 2008. Core GPA and SAT test scores can be found in Appendix 4. The initial-eligibility standards corresponding to the 14 and 16 core course rules are shown in Appendix 5. Note that computer science is being eliminated as an acceptable core-course area for students first entering any college or university on or after August 1, 2005.

Monthly meetings of the AAC are held at which members hear reports and participate in discussions pertinent to their mandate. Coaches from all sports are regularly invited to speak to the AAC on the academic status of the student-athletes and individual teams. This year we heard from Rob Klatte, Women's Soccer Coach and Tim Madden, Men's Tennis Coach. We also heard from President Jischke; Mike Shandrick, Head Equipment Manager; Devon Brouse, Golf Coach; Dave Shondell, Volleyball Coach, and Joe Camp, Secretary, Faculty Senate. Topics dealt with this year also included updates on the NCAA Division I Academic Eligibility Requirements, Purdue University Recruiting Philosophy, Student-Athlete Transitions Program, Academic Progress Rate, and National Letter of Intent (NLI).

The members of the AAC are thoroughly impressed with the efforts that the professional staff and coaches at Purdue University make on a continual basis to emphasize the importance of academic achievement to the athletes in their charge. It is the opinion of all members of this committee that the coaches and their staff as presently constituted at Purdue University expend an effort to assist their athletes in all aspects of their academic and social growth well beyond that which would normally be expected of them. Indeed, they are to be commended for the work they are doing both on and off the field of sport.

HIGHLIGHTS OF OUR SPORTS TEAMS IN 2006:

Certainly, we prefer that our athletic teams excel athletically as well as academically. From the Intercollegiate Athletics Strategic Planning document, again, we have the following Goals statement regarding athletics: "All sports will place in the upper half of the Big Ten and will be ranked in the top 25 nationally. When we are performing at these levels on a consistent basis, we will be competing for championships in the Big Ten and nationally." Following is a selection of

highlights from each sport in which Purdue competes at the varsity level, covering the year elapsed since the previous report.

Baseball

The 2006 baseball team finished its campaign with a 31-27 record, a fifth-place finish in the Big Ten Conference and a berth in the conference tournament in Ann Arbor, Mich. The Boilermakers made an impressive run through the opening non-conference portion of their schedule, posting wins over 2006 NCAA runner-up North Carolina and NCAA Super Regional qualifier Missouri. The Boilermakers landed six representatives on the All-Big Ten Conference squad, the most since the 2001 season. Shortstop Mitch Hilligoss became the second Boilermaker baseball player to earn first team all-conference honors for a second time, joining Jermaine Allensworth (1992 and 1993) in that honor. Purdue placed 11 players on the Academic All-Big Ten list, and first baseman Eric Wolfe was honored as a member of the academic all-region team. Wrapping up the campaign was the Major League Baseball Draft in June where four Boilermakers were selected by professional teams, the most among Big Ten schools. Hilligoss was a sixth-round selection by the New York Yankees, Chris Toneguzzi went in the 13th round to the Milwaukee Brewers and Jay Buente was picked in the 14th round by the Florida Marlins. Dan Sattler, a 40th-round selection by the Texas Rangers, opted to return to school for his senior campaign in 2007.

Men's Basketball

Despite an injury filled 2005-06 season, the men's basketball team was able to defeat NCAA Tournament team Wisconsin and No. 24 Michigan during Big Ten play. With a healthy roster, Purdue began the 2006-07 season with an 8-1 record. Its non-conference schedule was highlighted by wins over DePaul and Oklahoma in the Maui Invitational and a two-point win over No. 25 Virginia in Mackey Arena. As the conference season unfolds, the Boilermakers are on track for a postseason berth.

Women's Basketball

In 2006, the Purdue women's basketball team finished one season and began the next with a new head coach, Sharon Versyp, who played point guard for the Boilermakers from 1984-88. Versyp was hired as the eighth Purdue women's basketball coach on April 10, 2006. Under Kristy Curry's direction, Purdue completed the 2005-06 season with a 26-7 record which included Purdue's 10th appearance in the NCAA Sweet 16 where the Boilermakers fell to top-ranked North Carolina 70-68. In the 2006-07 season, under Versyp's direction, Purdue won the Preseason Women's National Invitational Tournament at No. 16 Baylor, 69-55 on Nov. 19. The Boilermakers were 13-2, including a 2-0 record in the Big Ten at the end of 2006 and were never out of the top 15 in the national rankings.

Cross Country

The cross country teams set off on the road to improvement in 2006, as they broke several personal bests and welcomed a bevy of first-year runners on both the men's and women's squads. Senior Justin Stadt and junior Eric Hammond paced the men's team for most of the season, as Stadt posted a career-best time for 8K at the Pre-National Championships at 24:58, while Hammond posted a time of 25:02 at the Notre Dame Invitational. A trio of first-years led the women's side as Kristin Phillips, Katie Morrical and Amye Adcock each led the Boilermakers on at least one occasion. Phillips and Morrical were Purdue's top finishers on three

occasions, while Adcock gave an outstanding performance to lead the team at the Big Ten Championships.

Football

The football team returned to the bowl scene with an 8-6 overall record, losing to Maryland in the Champs Sports Bowl. It marked the ninth bowl appearance in 10 seasons under head coach Joe Tiller. The Boilermakers also defeated Indiana for the ninth time under Tiller. Anthony Spencer became the latest member of the Purdue's Den of Defensive Ends, earning first team All-Big Ten and All-America honors. Curtis Painter broke Drew Brees' Big Ten season record with 3,985 passing yards. A school-record 15 student-athletes received Academic All-Big Ten acclaim. Tiller called it one of his most successful seasons with five new assistant coaches, 11 junior college transfers and playing 13 regular-season games without a bye week.

Men's Golf

The Purdue men's golf team earned a third-place finish in the 2006 Big Ten Championship and finished 12th in the NCAA Central Regionals. The top finish in the spring of 2006 for the men's golf team was a second place showing in the Boilermaker Invitational. The fall season has seen the Boilermakers earn three third-place finishes out of the four tournaments in which they competed. Purdue is led by seniors Pariya Junhasavasdikul, who was named first team All-Big Ten in 2006, and Peter Richardson, who earned second team all-conference honors.

Women's Golf

Head coach Devon Brouse was named the 2006 National Coach of the Year after guiding the women's golf team to a ninth place finish in the NCAA Championships, tying their best finish in school history. The women were ranked as high as third during the season and won the Big Ten Championships and the NCAA West Regional. Leading up to the NCAA Championships the Boilermakers finished no worse than third place in any tournament in 2006. Senior Onnarin Sattayabanphot was named Big Ten Player of the Year and Purdue Female Athlete of the Year in the spring of 2006. The fall season has shown mixed results but Purdue won the Aloha Purdue Collegiate on Nov. 23 with Maria Hernandez finishing second individually.

Women's Soccer

Women's soccer finished 14-6-3 and 6-4-1 in the Big Ten Conference, which was good for third place. The club advanced to the Big Ten Tournament for a seventh-consecutive year and beat Ohio State 2-1 in overtime before falling to Illinois in the semifinals. Purdue also qualified for its fourth NCAA appearance in five years, but lost on the road to Marquette in the first round 1-0. During the course of the season, the Boilermakers established a team record for longest unbeaten streak at 10, shutting out seven-straight opponents along the way. Purdue's 14 wins tied a club mark from 2003.

Softball

The Boilermakers finished the season with a 30-30 record, including a ninth-place finish in the Big Ten, in head coach Kim Maher's first year with the team. Purdue recorded a program milestone victory with a 5-4 win over 12th-ranked Michigan on April 9, giving the team its first win over the Wolverines since 1997 and first ever in Ann Arbor. The victory also marked Purdue's first win over a Top 15 team since the 2000 season. The Boilermakers also had three pitchers combine for a no-hitter against Ball State, a feat acheived by only six other schools in

NCAA Division I history, and the first of its kind in the Big Ten Conference. Shortstop Tricia Lilley garnered third team Academic All-America honors, while six players eraned Academic All-Big Ten acclaim.

Men's Swimming and Diving

Purdue's men's swimming and diving team finished the 2005-06 season with an 5-6 dual record, which included a 3-3 mark against Big Ten Conference opponents. During the postseason, the Boilermakers placed sixth at conference with 346 points and 16th at NCAA's with 59 points. At NCAA's, Steve LoBue became the first diver in program history to earn All-America status off all three diving boards (1-meter, 3-meter and platform). Giordan Pogioli was an All-American in the 200 breaststroke and an honorable mention All-American with the 200 medley relay of Joe Colley, Chris Etherington and Trey Smith. In addition to his NCAA success, LoBue was named Big Ten Co-Diver of the Year with Indiana's Brian Mariano, picking up three Big Ten Diver of the Week certificates along the way. First-year coach Adam Soldati was voted Big Ten Diving Coach of the Year.

Women's Swimming and Diving

Purdue's women's swimming and diving team finished the 2005-06 season with an 8-2 dual record, which included a 6-2 mark against Big Ten Conference opponents. The Boilermakers had a successful postseason, placing fifth at Big Ten's with 428 points, and 22nd at NCAA's with 45 points. Purdue's 428 points at the conference meet was its second highest total in 25 years of competition. The Boilermakers were led at Big Ten's by 200 butterfly champion Carlene Takaki and platform diving winner Carrie McCambridge, who was named Diver of the Championships and Co-Diver of the Year with Indiana's Christina Loukas. Purdue qualified four individuals for NCAA's: McCambridge, Takaki, Erica Chandler and Amanda Miller. McCambridge collected an All-American certificate for placing fourth off the 1-meter springboard and honorable mention All-American accolades for placing 16th off the 3-meter and ninth off the platform. Miller earned the first All-America award of her career after placing fifth off the platform tower and was an honorable mention All-American off the 3-meter, finishing 11th.

Men's Tennis

Purdue finished its 2006 season at 8-15 and were 3-7 against the Big Ten. Despite the low win total, the club did upset No. 41 Harvard, 4-3, and No. 69 Indiana, 5-2. The Boilermakers were eliminated in the first round of the Big Ten Tournament by Wisconsin, 4-1. Colin Foster was named team Most Valuable Player and was one of five Boilermakers to be named to the Academic All-Big Ten squad.

Women's Tennis

The Boilermakers qualified for their first NCAA Tournament in three years, finishing the season at 14-11 and 6-4 in the Big Ten. Purdue knocked off No. 17 Harvard in the NCAA's first round, 4-2, but lost to host and No. 9 Northwestern in the second round, 4-1. The Wildcats also eliminated the Boilermakers from the Big Ten Tournament in the second round, 4-0. Freshman Randi Schuler made quite an impact, recording an overall record of 17-7 while playing at the No. 1 and 2 singles positions. She was named to the 2006 All-Big Ten team for her efforts, and her 29 total wins (through fall and spring) ranked her third on Purdue's all-time list for singles victories in the season. Another freshman, Mallory Voelker, posted a 31-11 record. Her 31 wins

rank her second on Purdue's all-time list for singles victories in the season behind Erica Adams' 37 triumphs during the 1988-89 season.

Track and Field

The track and field teams had an outstanding year in 2006, boasting an All-American and several national qualifiers. Junior Rickey Pinkney claimed All-America honors at the NCAA Indoor Championships, taking home seventh-place in the 60-meter hurdles. He also set a school record in the event, clocking a time of 7.81 seconds. The Old Gold and Black sent six athletes to the NCAA Outdoor Championships, as senior David Rae, junior Lindsey Blaine, sophomores Keith Hopkins, Leah Kincaid and Kara Patterson and freshman Mallory Peck all made the trip to California for the championship meet. On the way, Patterson finished second at the Big Ten Championships and first at the NCAA Mideast Regionals in the javelin, while Rae set a school record in the 3,000-meter steeplechase and Kincaid was the women's top finisher at the Big Ten Championships.

Volleyball

No. 18 Purdue finished the 2006 season with a 23-11 mark, including an 11-9 Big Ten record, after falling in the Sweet 16 to No. 5 Penn State. The Boilermakers swept both Saint Louis and Kentucky in the first and second rounds to earn their second-straight Sweet 16 bid. The 11-9 conference record earned Purdue a fifth-place finish in the Big Ten, while the 23 wins gave Purdue its second-straight 20 win season for the first time since 1984-1985. Purdue topped three ranked opponents and spent the entire season ranked among the nation's Top 25 in the AVCA Coaches Poll. Sophomore middle hitter Stephanie Lynch was named honorable mention All-America, while a school-record nine players garnered Academic All-Big Ten acclaim.

Wrestling

The wrestling team opened 2006 with its run to the NCAA Championships after a 9-10 season and 10th-place finish at the Big Ten Championships. Led by senior All-Americans Chris Fleeger and Ben Wissel, the Boilermakers finished 20th in the country, as Fleeger was the national runner-up at 133 pounds, while Wissel finished fifth at 184 pounds. Wissel also set the school record for takedowns in a season with 188. The 2006-07 season opened in November and Purdue was perfect in its beginnings, racking up a 7-0 dual record with several impressive tournament finishes. The two most outstanding came from senior Nathan Moore, who took home the 197-pound crown at the University of Northern Iowa Open, and sophomore Jake Patacsil, who claimed the 149-pound title at the Reno Tournament of Champions.

2006-2007 ATHLETIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Thomas J. Templin (Committee Chair, Professor of Health and Kinesiology)

Roger L. Blalock (Associate Athletic Director for Sports)

Jeffrey T. Bolin (Professor of Biological Sciences, Faculty Athletic Representative)

Bart Burrell (Community Liaison)

Morgan J. Burke (*Athletic Director*)

Jan Cover (University Senate Appointment, Professor and Director of Graduate Studies, Department of Philosophy)

Nancy L. Cross (Senior Women's Administrator Associate A.D. for Marketing & Development) Ann Marie Diener (Student Representative)

Mario Ferruzzi (University Senate Appointment, Food Sciences and Nutrition)

John G. Graveel (Student Affairs Liaison, Professor of Agronomy)

Edward G. Howat (Associate Athletic Director for Student Services)

Gary Lehman (Alumni Representative)

Holly L. Mason (*Professor of Pharmacy Administration and Pharmacy Practice and Associate Dean of Pharmacy*)

Julie Novak (University Senate Appointment, Nursing)

Thomas J. Reiter (ex-officio, Compliance Director)

Thomas B. Robinson (Presidential Liaison, Vice President for Student Services)

Christie L. Sahley (Associate Professor of Biological Sciences and Asst. Dean of Science, Faculty Athletic Representative)

Glenn F. Tompkins (Senior Associate Athletic Director for Business)

Walter Williams (Student Representative)

Toyinda Wilson (Alumni Representative)

Recommended: 8/16/02

President Approved: 8/19/02

INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS VISION

A nationally prominent athletic organization that is excellent in all respects and a member of the "25/75 Club."

INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS MISSION

Our collective aspirations will be to achieve national success – both athletically and academically – and by so doing engage and inspire all constituencies to support the broader University pursuit of preeminence.

To allow student-athletes to meet or exceed their expectations in every aspect of their experience at Purdue University and to provide the resources for coaches and staff to develop winning programs.

This will be accomplished in an environment that recognizes the value of diversity and adheres to the highest standards of integrity, work ethic and teamwork.

INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS GOALS

Athletic

All sports will place in the upper half of the Big Ten and will be ranked in the top 25 nationally. When we are performing at these levels on a consistent basis, we will be competing for championships in the Big Ten and nationally.

Academic

Student-athletes will be at or above the all-campus grade point average. Graduation rates will be at or above the all-campus average.

Fiscal

Marketing, Promotion, and Development plans will be designed and implemented to generate a source of revenue. These monies, along with all other funds available to the department, will be allocated and managed to ensure that we have the resources for scholarships, quality academic support services and comprehensive, excellent facilities.

Equity

Provide quality participation opportunities that recognize and support gender and ethnic equity for all student-athletes in an atmosphere that fosters diversity in all constituencies.

Image

All actions, whether proactive or reactive, will create, maintain and project an image of excellence.

STUDENT-ATHLETE GRADUATION RATES*

Graduation Rates for Freshmen Entering in 1999-2000**

	Purdue	NCAA Division 1A
		- %
All Students	66	65
Student-Athletes	69	64
Men	59	57
Women	85	72
Women's Basketball	0	61
Women's Track	86	69
Women's Other Sports	89	75
Men's Basketball	50	41
Men's Track	83	62
Men's Football	44	55
Men's Baseball	43	42
Men's Other Sports	77	68

^{*} To be counted as a graduate, the student must graduate within six years from the institution of original enrollment.

^{**} Above averages based on 71 graduating Purdue student-athletes and 8,052 graduating student-athletes in Division 1A schools.

2005-06 Director's Cup and Graduation Rate Rank

Combined						
Director's	2225 22		2225 22	2025 22 4	2005-06	Sum of
Cup &	2005-06		2005-06	2005-06 4-	4-Year	Graduation
Graduation Rate	Director's Cup		Director's Cup	Year Graduation	Graduation Rate	Rate Rank & Director
Ranking	Rank	Institution	Points	Rate	Ranking	Cup Rank
1	1	Stanford (Calif.)	1197.375	88	4	5
2	6	Notre Dame (Ind.)	905.5	89	3	9
3	8	Duke (N.C.)	851.25	90	2	10
4	15	Penn State	727.875	81	7	22
5	4	North Carolina	952.75	70	22	26
6	24	Michigan	643.375	78	9	33
6	29	Northwestern (III.)	537.5	88	4	33
8	26	Virginia	586	78	9	35
9	7	California	865.5	67	36	43
10	22	Wisconsin	662	70	22	44
11	27	Maryland	560.25	70	22	49
12	2	UCLA	1071.375	62	48	50
13	12	Ohio State	799.25	65	39	51
14	18	Washington	692.25	67	36	54
15	35	Purdue (Ind.)	492	70	22	57
15	51	Boston College (Mass.)	351	87	6	57
17	44	Wake Forest (N.C.)	435	75	14	58
18	40	Illinois	460.25	71	19	59
19	10	Southern California	840	61	54	64
19	16	Minnesota Southern Methodist	725.25	62	48	64
21	49	(Tex.)	376.5	73	17	66
22	19	Nebraska	685.5	62	48	67
23	5	Florida	913	59	64	69
23	36	Pepperdine (Calif.)	489	69	33	69
23	47	Princeton (N.J.) *	399.5	70	22	69
23	68	Georgetown (D.C.)	229	91	1	69

27	53	Iowa	349	71	19	72
28	32	Baylor (Tex.)	511	64	42	74
28	65	Rice (Tex.)	241.5	78	9	74
30	3	Texas	966	57	72	75
31	23	Texas A&M	649.5	61	54	77
31	64	Vanderbilt (Tenn.)	243	76	13	77
33	17	Florida State	713	60	61	78
34	31	Brigham Young (Utah)	512.5	62	48	79
34	46	Michigan State	430.5	69	33	79
36	45	Virginia Tech	430.75	68	35	80
37	11	Arizona	831.625	57	72	83
37	38	Indiana	478.5	63	45	83
39	13	Arizona State	784.625	56	75	88
39	66	Cornell (N.Y.) *	241	70	22	88
39	73	Santa Clara (Calif.)	217.5	74	15	88
42	21	Alabama	674.5	58	68	89
42	41	Colorado	440.5	62	48	89
42	67	Dartmouth (N.H.) *	232.5	70	22	89
45	42	Miami (Fla.)	438.25	62	48	90
46	69	Harvard (Mass.) *	224	70	22	91
47	55	Texas Christian U.	332.5	66	38	93
47	78	Providence (R.I.)	207.5	74	15	93
49	14	Tennessee	748.25	55	80	94
50	9	Georgia	850.75	52	87	96
50	87	Villanova (Penn.)	187.5	78	9	96
52	37	Oklahoma	482.125	60	61	98
53	20	Louisiana State U.	675.125	55	80	100
53	25	Auburn (Ala.)	621.625	56	75	100
53	58	Connecticut	300.5	64	42	100
56	60	Kansas	264.75	64	42	102
56	80	Yale (Conn.) *	199	70	22	102
56	95	Richmond (Va.)	172	81	7	102
59	84	Brown (R.I.) *	191.5	70	22	106
60	39	Clemson (S.C.)	477.25	58	68	107
60	43	South Carolina	437.5	59	64	107
62	92	UC Santa Barbara	175	73	17	109

63 88 Pennsylvania * 183.5 70 22 65 57 Oregon State 318.125 61 54 65 92 Wisconsin-Milwaukee 175 71 19	110 111 111 114 114 115
65 57 Oregon State 318.125 61 54 65 92 Wisconsin-Milwaukee 175 71 19	111 114 114
	114 114
O7 O4 North Carelina Otata 504.5	114
67 34 North Carolina State 504.5 55 80	
67 50 Georgia Tech 373 59 64	115
69 76 Rutgers (N.J.) 209 65 39	
70 48 Missouri 379.625 58 68	116
70 71 Washington State 219.5 63 45	116
72 33 Kentucky 505.75 54 84	117
73 52 West Virginia 349.5 58 68	120
74 70 Northern Iowa 222 61 54	124
75 28 Arkansas 547.625 43 100	128
75 56 Utah 324 57 72	128
77 63 Oregon 245.5 56 75	138
78 100 Portland (Ore.) 163 65 39	139
79 54 Louisville (Ky.) 338 52 87	141
80 91 Pittsburgh (Penn.) 175.5 61 54	145
80 100 Southern Illinois 163 63 45	145
82 85 South Florida 189 60 61	146
83 61 Hawaii 257.5 52 87	148
84 96 Hofstra (N.Y.) 171 61 54	150
85 97 Iowa State 170.5 61 54	151
86 62 Fresno State (Calif.) 246 48 95	157
87 59 New Mexico 285 44 99	158
87 94 Kansas State 174 59 64	158
89 75 Mississippi 216.5 54 84	159
90 82 Tulsa (Okla.) 193.5 53 86	168
91 72 Nevada Las Vegas 218.5 44 98	170
91 73 Cal State Fullerton 217.5 46 97	170
91 79 Florida Atlantic 205.5 50 91	170
94 81 Wichita State (Kan.) 194.5 50 91	172
95 98 George Mason (Va.) 169.5 56 75	173
96 83 Boise State (Idaho) 192.5 50 91	174
96 99 San Diego State (Calif.) 168 56 75	174
98 85 Virginia Commonwealth 189 50 91	176

99	76	Texas El Paso	209	40	101	177
99	90	Northern Arizona Long Beach State	177.25	52	87	177
101	89	(Calif.)	180	47	96	185

CORE GPA/Test Score Index (to be used with 14 or 16 core courses)

(to be used with 14 or 16 core courses)						
		ACT				
Core GPA	SAT	Sum of Scores				
3.550 & above	400	37				
3.525	410	38				
3.500	420	39				
3.475	430	40				
3.450	440	41				
3.425	450	41				
3.400	460	42				
3.375	470	42				
3.350 3.325	480 490	43 44				
3.323	500	44				
3.275	510	45				
3.250	520	46				
3.225	530	46				
3.200	540	47				
. 3.175	550	47				
3.150	560	48				
3.125	570	49				
3.100	580	49				
3.075	590	50				
3.050	600	50				
3.025	610	51				
3.000 2.975	620 630	52 52				
2.973	640	53				
2.925	650	53				
2.900	660	54				
2.875	670	55				
2.850	680	56				
2.825	690	56				
2.800	700	57				
2.775	710	58				
2.750	720	59				
2.725	730	59				
2.700	730	60				
2.675 2.650	740-750 760	61 62				
2.625	770	63				
2.600	780	64				
2.575	790	65				
2.550	800	66				
2.525	810	67				
2.500	820	68				
2.475	830	69				
2.450	840-850	70				
2.425	860	70				
2.400	860 870	71 72				
2.375 2.350	880	72				
2.325	890	73				
2.300	900	75				
2.275	910	76				
2.250	920	77				
2.225	930	78				
2.200	940	79				
2.175	950	80				
2.150	960	80				
2.125	960	81				
2.100	970 980	82 83				
2.075 2.050	980 990	83 84				
2.030	1000	84 85				
2.000	1010	86				
2.000	1010	00				

MINIMUM CORE-CURRICULUM AND GRADE POINT AVERAGE REQUIREMENTS FOR INITIAL ELIGIBILITY – DIVISION 1

Courses	Effective Aug. 1, 2005 14 courses	Effective Aug. 1, 2008 16 courses	
English	4 years	4 years	
Mathematics	2 years (Algebra I or higher)	3 years (Algebra I or higher)	
Natural/Physical Science	2 years (1 year of lab if offered by high school)	2 years (1 year of lab if offered by high school)	
Additional English, math or natural/physical science	1 year	1 year	
Social Science	2 years	2 years	
Additional academic courses in any of the above areas or foreign language, philosophy, non-doctrinal religion, or computer science*	3 years	4 years	
Core curriculum grade-point average/test score initial-eligibility index	See sliding scale, Appendix 4	See sliding scale, Appendix 4	

Students entering college on or after August 1, 2005 must meet the new 14 core course rule. Computer science is being eliminated as an acceptable core-course area for students first entering any college or university on or after August 1, 2005.

NUMBER OF STUDENT-ATHLETES BY SCHOOL Fall 2006

Fall 2006	
College	# of Student-Athletes
Agriculture	20
Consumer & Family Sciences	32
Education	12
Engineering:	
Fresh-E	16
AAE	6
ABE	1
CE	2
CHE	0
CNE	1
ECE IDE	2 1
IE	6
ME	6
NE NE	3
Subtotal for Engineering	44
Health Sciences	16
Liberal Arts	208
Management	71
Nursing	6
Pharmacy & Pharmacal Sciences	0
Science	30
Technology:	
AT	11
BCM	6
CPT	9
EET	4
IT	4
MET	3
OLS	65
CGT	9
CMT	0
Subtotal for Technology	111
Graduate School	7
Vet Med	0

Memorial Resolution For Charles Lawrence Professor Emeritus School of Management

Professor Emeritus Charles Lawrence of the School of Management died October 5, 2006 in Sault St. Marie, Canada at the age of 85. He was on vacation to see the fall foliage in upper Michigan and Canada when he suffered a heart attack. Chuck was a resident of West Lafayette since 1967, when he accepted a position as a full professor of accounting in the Krannert School. Chuck grew up in Denver, Colorado and went to Denver University as an accounting major. After graduation he worked with R.B. Mayo & Co. before entering the Navy during World War II. He spent 33 months as a Seabee, stationed in Guam. After the war Chuck entered the University of Colorado at Boulder to get a Masters Degree; his experience as a teaching assistant there inspired him to become a professor. Using the GI Bill, he entered the Ph.D. program at the University of Illinois at Urbana in 1948, the same year he married Doris Hage. Chuck took his Ph.D. comprehensive exams in 1950 on the same day his daughter, Terry Kathleen, was born. In 1952 Chuck became an assistant professor of accounting at Michigan State University, the same year that his son, Timothy Charles, was born. A second daughter, Christy Sue, was born in 1954. In 1959 Chuck was sent by Michigan State for a two-year posting in Sao Paolo, Brazil. Chuck and Doris loved their experience there; it inspired Chuck to sponsor the Foreign Students Association at Michigan State until 1967, when he left for Purdue. Under Chuck's leadership the Krannert School formally instituted the undergraduate accounting major. He taught the full spectrum of accounting courses at Purdue; virtually every accounting major between 1967 and his retirement in 1986 encountered Chuck. He served as the director of undergraduate programs at Krannert for five years before his retirement. Upon retirement Chuck and

Alan Peterson established the Peterson-Lawrence Scholarship program for outstanding students in accounting. While in West Lafayette Chuck was active in the Lutheran Church. Following his retirement, Chuck did volunteer work for the West Lafayette Police Department.