TO: The University Senate  
FROM: Senate Equity & Diversity Committee  
SUBJECT: Enhancing Faculty Recruitment and Retention  
DISPOSITION: University Senate Vote

Whereas, “Purdue serves diverse populations of Indiana, the nation, and the world through discovery that expands the frontiers of knowledge, learning that nurtures the sharing of knowledge, and engagement that promotes the application of knowledge” (University Mission).

Whereas, Purdue is committed to launching tomorrow’s leaders through characteristics such as: “A learning environment immersed in a rich and dynamic culture of diversity, equality, and inclusion for all people, with widespread support and a diverse educational climate for an evolving global society” (p. 6, New Synergies).

Whereas, Purdue University receives millions of dollars in federal contracts, and whereas, the University is required by federal law to develop and monitor a written affirmative action plan.

Whereas, it the responsibility of ALL faculty members to assist in the advancement of the university’s equity and diversity goals.

Whereas, it is a well-established concern that faculty from underrepresented minority (URM) groups often experience a “diversity tax;” that is, being expected to serve in more committees and/or being assigned additional duties due to the need to address diversity and equity issues and/or the need to have URM representation in various committees at the department, college or university level.

Now, therefore, be it resolved by the University Senate as follows:

I. The University Senate receives the Equity and Diversity Committee’s recommendations for enhancing faculty recruitment and retention (attached)

II. The University Senate accepts the recommendations listed below and urges the university administration to support the implementation of these recommendations promptly:

A. Establishing and/or Activating Diversity and Equity Committees:

   1. Each college should have an active Diversity and Equity Committee (DEC) or its equivalent.
2. Each DEC should review the yearly University’s Affirmative Action Plan and become aware of its unit’s *placement goals* and tenure and promotion trends. “In every job group where a deficiency is found, placement goals are set for the entire campus or, in the case of faculty, for each college or school. A placement goal is defined as the University established target, which the University makes a good faith effort to achieve. Separate placement goals are set for minorities and for women.” (Affirmative Action Report, 2015, p. 25).

3. Each DEC should review trends in graduate students’ recruitment and retention.

4. Each DEC should review the best trends in training of reviewers for graduate applicants compiled by the Director of Office of Interdisciplinary Graduate Programs.

5. Each Faculty Search Committee should identify one of its members to be a liaison with the unit’s DEC to share information about the pool of applicants, as well as the short list of applicants selected by the Search Committee.

6. The DEC should provide recommendations to members of Search Committees and Deans regarding the pool applicants and selected short list.

7. Each DEC should meet with applicants who have decided to leave their unit to gather information that could then be used for future recruitment and retention strategies.

8. The Chair and Vice-Chair of the University Senate Equity and Diversity Committee should organize meetings with the participation of all of the DEC’s chairs and vice-chairs from each college. These meetings should occur once a year with a focus on discussing each unit’s progress on placement goals, trends in tenure and promotion, and any other common issues associated with faculty recruitment and retention. These meetings could also be used to share best practices and ideas for improving recruitment and retention.

9. A summary of findings from these yearly meetings of DEC chairs and vice-chairs should be made available to the Senate and the Deans of each unit for information and action.

**B. Addressing diversity in all faculty hire advertisements:**

The University Senate recommends the inclusion of the statement below in ALL new faculty hire advertisements:

**Purdue University**’s School/Department of (insert name) is committed to advancing diversity in all areas of faculty effort, including scholarship, instruction, and engagement. Candidates should address at least one of these areas in their cover letter, indicating their experiences, current interests or activities, and/or future goals to promote a climate that values diversity and inclusion.

--

The successful candidate should have a commitment to diversity and promoting a multicultural environment for learning.
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Attachment

Senate Equity and Diversity Committee’s Recommendations for Enhancing Faculty Recruitment and Retention – March 2016

Task

To investigate recruitment and retention trends across the university and to provide recommendations for improvement with a focus on long-term structural changes.

Process

Last year, the Equity and Diversity Committee established the Sub-Committee on Faculty Recruitment and Retention. During this time, we met several times to discuss relevant documents and the University’s Affirmative Action Report: Gender, Race and Ethnicity (2014-2015). This report is prepared every year by the Office of the Vice-President for Ethics and Compliance. We also met two times with Vice-President, Dr. Alysa Rollock to better understand various aspects of the report. The Sub-Committee’s recommendations were presented and debated in regular meetings of the Equity and Diversity Committee, and were approved to be submitted for consideration by the University Senate.

Summary Findings

It is important to note that all universities receiving federal funding are required by law to produce an affirmative action report and to demonstrate progress in achieving stated equity goals. Affirmative action programs can be described as “a management tool designed to ensure equal employment opportunity...[and] includes those policies, practices, and procedures that the contractor implements to ensure that all qualified applicants and employees are receiving an equal opportunity for recruitment, selection, advancement, and every other term and privilege associated with employment.” (41 CFR § 60-2.10), (Purdue Affirmative Action Report [PAAR], 2014-2015, p. 1.).

Affirmative action programs are often misinterpreted as just directly hiring members of underrepresented groups, but in fact, this practice is not permissible. The main function of affirmative action programs is well described in PAAR (2014-2015) as follows:

If it is found that the number of women and minorities employed at the University is less than the expected availability in the relevant labor market, the University must use its best efforts, in good faith, to develop and implement procedures that are designed to increase the number of qualified women and minority candidates in the applicant pool, which will lead to the establishment of placement goals for women and minorities in areas where they are needed. A complete affirmative action program also includes mechanisms that enable the University to continually monitor and evaluate its employment practices to ensure that they are free of bias and discrimination based on race, religion, color, sex, age, national origin, ancestry, disability, genetic information, veteran status, marital status, parental status, sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. (p. 1, emphasis ours).
Keeping these definitions in mind, according to the Purdue’s *Affirmative Action Report: Gender, Race and Ethnicity* (PAAR, 2014-2015), these are some key findings that require further attention:

1. “Five of the 11 faculty job groups (Foreign Languages and Literature; Speech, Language and Hearing Science; Psychological Science; Libraries; and the College of Technology) with a placement goal in 2013-2014 to increase minority representation showed progress toward the placement goal. Progress toward minority representation was not made in six job groups.” (PAAR, p. 44).

2. “Eighteen of the 41 faculty job groups with a placement goal in 2012-2013 to increase female representation showed progress toward the placement goal. Progress was made in Agricultural Engineering; Biochemistry; Forestry; Human Development and Family Studies; Speech, Language and Hearing Science; Foreign Languages and Literature; Philosophy; Political Science; Sociology; Libraries; Civil Engineering; Mechanical Engineering; Other Engineering; School of Management; Biological Sciences; Geosciences; Health Sciences; and the College of Technology. Progress was not made in 19 job groups.” (PAAR, 44).

3. “The Office of Institutional Equity conducts an annual campus-wide Faculty Salary Equity Study. This multiple regression analysis considers rank, tenure, service time, department, and other variables to identify cases of possible salary inequity. The results are reported to the Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost and the Vice President for Ethics and Compliance, and include recommendations for correcting any disparities that appear to be based on gender, race, or ethnicity. Adjustments will be made by the Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost and/or by Deans, as appropriate. Findings: The 2013-14 Faculty Salary Equity Study indicates that there are academic units that have patterns of residuals for women and/or minorities that need to be scrutinized carefully.” (PAAR, p. 61, emphasis ours).

These highlights indicate that the University Senate should be paying closer attention to the trends reported in the federally mandated affirmative action reports and explore ways to monitor whether progress is being made across programs. To this end, the Equity and Diversity Committee makes the recommendations listed in the attached resolution. In addition, we believe that these recommendations will complement well those proposed recently by the Provost’s Advisory Committee on Diversity.