The conditional reasoning test for aggression (CRT-A; James, 1998) is built upon the assumption that individuals rationalize their behavior. The CRT-A is an indirect test that uses inductive reasoning problems to access the respondents’ implicit aggression by tapping into the justification mechanisms associated with the motive to aggress (e.g., Hostile Attribution Bias). The CRT-A items each contain an aggressive answer and a non-aggressive answer that are equally inductively valid. Individuals with a high motive to aggress choose the aggressive answer because they find it logical. The purpose of this study was to determine whether or not respondents could identify the purpose of the test. Participants were given both the CRT-A and a self-report measure and were asked what they believed each test was designed to assess. Overall, results from 155 participants support our hypothesis that the CRT-A is not transparent to participants.