

UNIVERSITY SENATE
Third Meeting, Monday, 18 November 2019, 2:30 p.m.
Pfendler Hall, Deans Auditorium

AMENDED AGENDA

1. Call to order Professor Deborah L. Nichols
2. Approval of Minutes of 21 October 2019
3. Acceptance of Agenda
4. Remarks of the President President Mitchell E. Daniels, Jr.
5. Question Time
6. Executive Session
7. Formation of a Commission of Inquiry
8. Memorial Resolutions
9. **Résumé of Items Under Consideration
by Various Committees** For Information
Professor Deborah L. Nichols
10. **Senate Document 18-02 Purdue Graduate Student Government
Bill of Rights and Responsibilities- Revised** For Action
Professor David Sanders
11. **Senate Document 19-01 Course Retake Policy Amendment** For Action
Professor Andrew Freed
12. **Senate Document 19-06 Local Transportation Options Resolution** For Action
Professor David Sanders
13. **Senate Document 19-08 Senate Reapportionment** For Action
Professor Deborah Nichols
14. Update from the Dean of the Libraries For Information
Dean of Libraries Beth McNeil
15. New Business
16. Adjournment

UNIVERSITY SENATE

Third Meeting, Monday, 18 November 2019, 2:30 p.m.
Pfundler Hall, Deans Auditorium

Present: *Joseph W. Camp (Secretary of Faculties and Parliamentarian), Deborah L. Nichols (Vice-Chair of the Senate), Kolapo Ajuwon, Jay T. Akridge, Taylor Bailey, Stephen Beaudoin, Alan Beck, Peter Bermel, Frederick Berry, Bharat Bhargava, Jordan Jo Boileau, Colleen Brady, Robert X. Browning, Tom Brush, Sharon Christ, Laura Claxton, Bruce Craig, Jim Dworkin, David Eichinger, Christine Erickson, Clifford Fisher, Ray Fouché, Alexander Francis, Andrew Freed, Michael Gribskov, Stephen Hooser, Ayhan Irfanoglu, Jules Janick, Ralph Kaufmann, Julius J. Keller, Neil Knobloch, Jozef L. Kokini, Klod Kokini, Nan Kong, Eric P. Kvam, Douglas LaCount, Vincent Duffy (for Seokcheon Lee), Robyn Malo, Stephen Martin, Eric T. Matson, Tim McGraw, Michael McNamara, Greg M. Michalski, Larry Nies, Robert Nowack, Jan Olek, Erik Otarola-Castillo, Alice Pawley, Rodolfo Pinal, Linda Prokopy, James Pula, Li Qiao, Jeremy Reynolds, Jeff Rhoads, Elizabeth Richards, Felicia Roberts, Paul Robinson, Leonid Rokhinson, Ala Samarapungavan, David Sanders, Dharmendra Saraswat, Dennis Savaiano, Steven Scott, Lou Sherman, Daniel W. Smith, Qifan Song, Brandon H. Sorge, Susan Watts, Steve Wereley, Nicole J. Olynk Widmar, Kipling Williams, Jane F. Yacilla, Megha Anwer, Stacey Baisden, Heather Beasley, Natalie Carroll, Frank J. Dooley, Barbara Frazee, Keith Gehres, Peter Hollenbeck, Carl T. Krieger (for Barbara Frazee), Lisa Mauer, Beth McCuskey, Jamie L. Mohler, Katherine L. Sermersheim, Jeremy Wampler, and *Stephanie L. Dykhuizen (Sergeant-at-Arms).**

Absent: *President Mitchell E. Daniels, Cheryl Cooky (Chair of the Senate), Kathleen Abrahamson, Jonathan Bauchet, Sylvie M. Brouder, Steven S. Broyles, Min Chen, Matt Conaway, Martin Corless, Chittaranjan Das, Edward J. Delp III, Donna Ferullo, Ariel de la Fuente, Catherine A. Hill, Signe Kastberg, Todd Kelley, David Koltick, Janice Kritchevsky, Shuang Liu, David J. Love, Julie Mariga, Song No, Bob Pruitt, Darryl Ragland, Randy Rapp, Audrey Ruple, William Sullivan, Rusi Taleyarkhan, Hong Tan, John S. Yaninek, Haiyan H. Zhang, Michael B. Cline, Lowell Kane, and Alysa C. Rollock*

Guests: A. Gilmore (PSG), J. Ching (Exponent), R. Richardson (Libraries), S. Jastrzebski (WBAA), A. Nickel (M&M), M. Powell (CLA), A. Darling (WLF1), D. Bangert (J&C), B. McNeil (Libraries), S. Deery (M&M), and J. Rickus (Provost).

1. The meeting was called to order at 2:30 p.m. by Vice Chairperson Deborah Nichols.
2. The minutes of the 21 October 2019 Senate meeting were approved as distributed.
3. The November Senate Agenda was approved as distributed.
4. President Mitchell E. Daniels, Jr. was not at the meeting and no remarks were presented.
5. Question Time.
 - Professor David Sanders posed several questions related to the recently promulgated Sports Wagering Policy for Purdue University.
 - Professor Sanders asked: "What criteria were used for determining who was covered and who was not covered by the recently promulgated Sports Wagering Policy?" Provost Jay Akridge noted that the initial idea first surfaced at a meeting of the University Senate Advisory Committee. It caught the attention of faculty as well as the Trustees and the administration given that the Indiana law was changing and allowing betting on college sports. He

noted that St. Joseph's University in Pennsylvania was the only institution that we were aware of with a Sports Wagering Policy in place. Originally, the discussions centered around faculty and staff only being affected, but the welfare of the student-athletes also become a consideration. We want to ensure the students do not have an experience that is compromised or that they would be impacted by the thought that others around them were betting on their performances in athletic events. There were also conflict-of-interest considerations so it was cast broadly to include students, faculty, staff, students and those who have contracts with the University. It is not in final form and may be modified over time as the broader university community wrestles with the issue.

- Professor Sanders followed up by asking: "Are employees of Kaplan Education covered?" Approximately one week after the Senate meeting an answer was received to this question from Provost Akridge (see Appendix A).
- Professor Sanders's third question was: "Why are students and employees of Purdue Global excluded from the policy?" Provost Akridge stated that Purdue Global is a separate entity with its own Board and is governed by a separate set of policies. This policy applies to the Purdue University system including Purdue Northwest and Purdue Fort Wayne.
- Professor Sanders's fourth question was: "Why are Purdue alumni excluded?" Provost Akridge said the idea is to protect the campus community and those that have the most direct interface with our students. That is where we started and as this issue is dealt with over time, we will see how the policy evolves.
- Professor Sanders's fifth question was: "Is sports wagering, as implied by the policy as it is written, immoral?" He elaborated that the policy starts out mentioning morality and that sports wagering will not be permitted. Provost Akridge said he could not address that particular point. The general focus was two-fold; 1) to protect the interests and educational opportunities of our student-athletes, and 2) to avoid any perception of conflict-of-interest on the part of the University. Those are the principles that are the bases for the policy.

6. Professor Sanders made a motion for the Senate to enter Executive Session to discuss Senate personnel issues. His motion was seconded by Professor Ralph Kaufmann. Prior to the vote on the main motion, Professor Nichols asked for a motion to modify the Senate Agenda to put this on the Agenda for the current Senate meeting. This motion was made and seconded and passed by unanimous voice vote. The Senate members then approved the motion to enter an Executive Session with 35 votes in favor, 22 in opposition with three abstentions. After approval of the motion, all non-voting members of the Senate were asked to leave the venue and the Senate entered Executive Session. The Executive Session ended when a motion to that end passed with 56 votes in favor and one vote in opposition.
7. Following the close of the Executive Session, a motion was made by Professor Sanders to form a Commission of Inquiry to examine the selection process for Senate personnel. The vote was then taken on Professor Sanders's motion to form the Commission of Inquiry. This motion passed with 46 votes in favor, 17 votes in opposition with two abstentions. Prior to the formation of this commission, a process will be identified for selecting members of the Commission. At the recommendation of Provost Akridge the Senate members agreed that the Faculty Affairs Committee (FAC) is the appropriate

Standing Committee to establish the Commission. The FAC will report to the Senate about the establishment and activities of the Commission.

8. No Memorial Resolutions had been received.
9. Representing the Steering Committee, Professor Deborah Nichols presented the Résumé of Items under Consideration (ROI) by various standing committees (see Appendix B).
 - Professor Linda Prokopy, standing in for Chair of the Equity and Diversity Committee (EDC) Audrey Ruple, spoke about the recent incident at a CVS just off campus during which a Purdue student was denied access to medication in spite of having a valid U.S. driver's license and passport with him at the time of sale. The EDC members discussed the University's refusal to issue a statement on the matter despite CVS admitting fault in the incident and taking measures to ensure this does not happen in the future at any of their locations. The EDC members discussed the comments made by Dr. John Gates at the Latino Cultural Center and during a town-hall meeting relative to the incident and the impact those statements had on the students, staff, and faculty at Purdue University. The EDC will be issuing a statement on this matter and the members of the Senate will be offered an opportunity to add their names in support of the statement.
 - In her role as Chair of the Faculty Affairs Committee (FAC), Professor Prokopy noted that in terms of University Standard S-19 (Standard on Courseware and Online Modules) it did not come to the FAC for approval and the FAC members felt it should have been reviewed by the FAC. Professor Prokopy thanked Vice President Rollock for explaining why the item did not come to the FAC for review. The original policy the standard is based on was vetted through the FAC and the members felt strongly it should still be discussed within the FAC. Senate Chair Cheryl Cooky has formed a task force to look into the standard, but the FAC members will also look into this matter. The FAC members feel very strongly it is a faculty affairs issue.
 - Professor David Sanders spoke as the Chair of the Student Affairs Committee. He stated that "...the Steering Committee does not determine what a Standing Committee can discuss, who they can ask to appear before them, they also do not have the right to decide that a measure/resolution approved by a committee does not go on the Senate Agenda. He views this as a violation of the Bylaws. The Standing Committees have broad powers, sometimes overlapping powers. The Steering Committee does not have the right to tell the Standing Committees what they should be doing." The Secretary of Faculties disagreed with the statements made by Professor Sanders.
10. Professor David Sanders, Chair of the Student Affairs Committee (SAC), introduced Senate Document 18-02 *Purdue Graduate Student Government Bill of Rights and Responsibilities- REVISED*, for Action. Professor Sanders explained the remonstrance to the initial version of the document and that it had been returned to the SAC for reconsideration and possible revision. The potential revisions were discussed with the Professor who initiated the remonstrance. The SAC accepted some of the proposed revisions. The revisions were sufficient to satisfy the Senate Bylaws provision for consideration of a revised/amended document ([Senate Bylaws Article VI- Legislative Review by the Faculty](#)) by the full Senate without necessitating a mail ballot of the entire University faculty. A motion was made and seconded to approve the document. Professors Sanders and Nichols highlighted the revisions that included removal of one of

the original “Whereas” clauses which was a concern of the individual who initiated the remonstrance. Professor Prokopy was not ready to vote on the revised document at the October Senate meeting and had been the individual who moved for a postponement of the vote. Professor Prokopy noted that all the documents pertinent to the remonstrance were distributed to the Senators by Stephanie Dykhuizen who also placed them on the Senate website. This allowed the Senators time to review them prior to the current Senate meeting. Professor Prokopy sent the matter to her department colleagues so they could inform her of any concerns. No concerns were expressed. Professor Prokopy expressed her readiness to vote in the affirmative on the revised document. Professor Vincent Duffy proposed the following amendment (in bold type) to the document:

- **“THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that The Purdue University Senate endorses the Graduate Student Bill of Rights and Responsibilities as passed by the Purdue Graduate Student Government as a statement of graduate student concerns and principles, and recommends that they act with the appropriate units (Department/School, Graduate School, College, University) to enact binding policies to address these concerns.”**

Professor Duffy’s motion to amend the document was approved by consent of the Senate. Professor Ralph Kaufmann expressed concerns about the wording of sections of the Graduate Student Bill of Rights and Responsibilities document. However, that document is not a Senate document and the Senate has no control over its wording. Senate Document 18-02 Revised and Amended is the only document under consideration by the Senate. Professor Duffy and Senator Taylor Bailey provided additional opinions about the wording of Senate Document 18-02. Senator Bailey noted that not a single person from the Senate reached out to him since the October Senate meeting with concerns about the Graduate Student Bill of Rights and Responsibilities. Senator Bailey and Professor Kaufmann exchanged their differing opinions about the wording and nature of the Bill of Rights and Responsibilities. Professor Nicole Olynk asked for verification that the Graduate Student Bill of Rights and Responsibilities is an aspirational document and does not create binding policies that must be followed by graduate committees. The aspirational nature of the documents was verified for Professor Olynk. Professor Michael Gribskov noted that graduate students come into a program with certain requirements and they should know what they are, and he does see a problem with this. Once again, Senator Bailey explained the aspirational nature of the Bill of Rights and Responsibilities document and that it does not set policy. He urged passage of the revised and amended Senate Document 18-02. Additional comments were made in support of the amendment passed earlier in the meeting. Following the discussion period, the revised and amended document was approved with 57 votes in favor, six in opposition with two abstentions.

11. Professor Andrew Freed, Chair of the Educational Policy Committee, introduced **Senate Document 19-01, *Course Retake Policy Amendment***, for Action. Professor Freed explained the rationale for the proposed policy amendment. The revised rule attempts to provide clarity and consistency to the course repeat rule. Also, it will take the Academic Advisors out of the loop as they do not want to be part of this process. A motion was made and seconded to approve the document. Professor Freed entertained questions and comments from the Senate floor.
 - A Senator asked about tuition when a student takes a course a second and third time. Tuition must be paid each time as is done for any courses that are taken.

- A Senator asked how it works if a student is dismissed from a program of poor performance but is later readmitted to the program. Vice Provost Dooley explained that it would work the same. Typically, we see students take a course a maximum of three times.
- A Senator asked if this applies to both graduate and undergraduate students. He mentioned the minimum GPA that is required for graduate students to remain in their program. The Senator gave the example of a student who is dismissed from the graduate program, retakes the course as a non-matriculated student, and passes the course and wondered what would happen in this situation. Vice Provost Dooley explained that this applies to all students and to the Fort Wayne and Northwest campuses, as well. Provost Akridge noted that the student would go through the readmission process and in most cases those decisions will be made locally. Regardless, the student would have to reapply and be readmitted before the course would count for their graduate program.
- A Senator noted that the Graduate School policy allows a department to require that a student maintain a certain GPA to remain in the program. Again, if the student took a course after dismissal they would still have to reapply to the program.

The discussion ended and the vote was taken. Senate Document 19-01 passed with 62 votes in favor, one in opposition with one abstention.

12. Professor David Sanders introduced **Senate Document 19-06, *Local Transportation Options Resolution***, for Action. He explained the rationale for the document and noted it has the strong support of the Purdue Police Department and many faculty members have requested this type of document. A motion was made and seconded to approve the document.

- Professor Colleen Brady asked for clarification about what this *ad hoc* committee will accomplish that the existing Parking and Traffic Committee does not do. Professor Sanders explained that the *ad hoc* committee will bring together people from a variety of committees. Currently, there is no committee that embodies all the charges of these various committees. The intent is to receive input from students and the Police Department who may not be voting members of the existing Parking and Traffic Committee. This will bring together all the stakeholders so that they can inform students and assess their understanding of traffic rules, regulations, and their transportation options. Professor Brady wondered if the Parking and Traffic Committee meeting were closed meetings. In fact, they are open meetings. Professor Sanders noted that the Parking and Traffic Committee supports formation of the *ad hoc* committee.
- Professor Pawley asked for clarification about the *ad hoc* nature of the proposed committee. Professor Sanders stated that the committee will not “last forever.” The idea is to generate an educational document and an assessment tool and ask the University to adopt the document and tool. Once its charge is accomplished, the committee will disband.

The discussion ended and the vote was taken. Senate Document 19-06 passed with 53 votes in favor and 10 in opposition.

13. Professor Deborah Nichols presented **Senate Document 19-08, *Senate Reapportionment***, for Action. Senate reapportionment occurs annually at the November Senate meeting. The reapportionment is based on head count data received from the Human Resources data analyst. A motion was made and seconded to approve the document. A brief

discussion occurred.

- Professor Laura Claxton asked about Honors College representation as she recalled a previous Senate vote to that effect. The previous vote was to approve an Advisor from the Honors College rather than a Senator. The faculty numbers in the Honors College are too few for the College to have one or more Senators. The Advisor from the Honors College has voice only in the Senate but full voice and vote on any Senate or Faculty Committees she serves on.
- Professor David Sanders wondered how the College of Science had lost 18 faculty members between November 2018 and November 2019 as the decrease led to the loss of one Senator for the College. Provost Akridge explained that there is a timing issue because the College of Science has/had searches for more than forty faculty positions over the last year. Not all the positions were filled by individuals starting this past August. Some will start in January or next fall. In addition, there were several retirements in the College of Science. Hence, the numbers are lower this year than they were last year.
- A Senator noted that we have 102 voting Senators and wondered why our vote counts at the Senate meetings were no more than 60 – 70 votes in total. Professor Nichols noted that not all Senators attend the Senate meetings. The Secretary of Faculties noted that the Senate Bylaws allow the Senate as a body to remove Senators if they are unduly absent. It is up to the Senate to deal with Senators who do not attend meetings. Previous Senate Chairs have informed departments when their Senators are unduly absent.

The discussion ended and the vote was taken. Senate Document 19-08 passed with 62 votes in favor and one in opposition.

14. Dean of the Libraries McNeil had to leave the Senate meeting to meet with donors before she could give her presentation to the Senate. Her presentation will be rescheduled for an upcoming Senate meeting.
15. No New Business was brought to the Senate.
16. Having no additional business, the meeting adjourned at 4:10 p.m.

Senate Document 18-02
Approved 19 November 2018
Revised 21 October 2019
Amended 18 November 2019

To: The Purdue University Senate
From: Chris Clifton, Chair, Student Affairs Committee
Subject: Purdue Graduate Student Government Bill of Rights and Responsibilities
Disposition: University Senate for Reconsideration

WHEREAS, No Purdue University-sponsored document fully describes the rights and responsibility of the graduate student body and their relation to the university, acknowledging: (1) “Purdue University Bill of Student Rights”, which provide general discussion of student rights with no specific focus on graduate students, (2) “Guidelines for Graduate Student Mentoring and Advising” approved by the Graduate Council that provides discussion of expectations related to graduate student experience but represents faculty instruction to faculty, and (3) the Graduate School’s “Policies and Procedures for Administering Graduate Student Programs” whose relevant sections provide important but non-comprehensive discussion of graduate student rights and responsibilities; and

WHEREAS, The Graduate Student Bill of Rights and Responsibilities¹ provides a thorough and directed discussion to improve graduate student awareness of important considerations related to the graduate experience and provides the context for discourse to promote an environment of mutual success and improvement of the graduate experience;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that The Purdue University Senate endorses the Graduate Student Bill of Rights and Responsibilities as passed by the Purdue Graduate Student Government **as a statement of graduate student concerns and principles, and recommends that they act with the appropriate units (Department/School, Graduate School, College, University) to enact binding policies to address these concerns.**

Respectfully submitted,
Chris Clifton, Chair
Student Affairs Committee

Approve:

Heather Beasley
Chris Clifton
Matt Conaway

Amendment Approved 11/19/18:

Tom Atkinson
Heather Beasley
Chris Clifton
Matt Conaway

Rayvon Fouché
Jason Harris
Russell Jones
Kenji Matsuki
Beth McCuskey
David Sanders
Anumitha Venkatraman

Rayvon Fouché
Jason Harris
Russell Jones
Kenji Matsuki
David Sanders
Jon Story
Anumitha Venkatraman

Not Present:

Brad Alge
Tom Atkinson
James L. Mohler
Jon Story
Steve Wereley

i See <https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/0By3sFdKQNugNbFB2aDBtbTF5c2c>

TO: The University Senate
FROM: *Educational Policy Committee*
SUBJECT: *Course Retake Policy Amendment*
DISPOSITION: University Senate for Discussion and Adoption
REFERENCE: Academic Regulations and Procedures: Grades and Grade Reports,
Section 1, No. 4

optional items:

PROPOSAL: *Replace the current wording with the proposed wording.*

RATIONALE: In practice, the advisor's consent is not necessary for the student to repeat the course; and the regulation has resulted in an uneven application of the policy by the academic programs.

Current	Proposed
"With the consent of his/her academic advisor, a student may repeat a course not intended for repeated registrations up to two attempts."	"All students should <u>shall</u> be allowed to enroll in a non-repeatable course <u>at most</u> three times."

Committee Votes

Approve (Unanimous vote of those attending the meeting):

Robin Adams
Frank Dooley
Andrew Freed
Michael Harris, Chair
Steven Martin
Howard Sypher

Not Present

Ayelet Bernstein
Steven Boyles
Hossein Ebrahiminejad

Rick Esak
Donna Ferullo
Ayhan Irfanoglu
Nan Kong
Greg Michalski
Katherine Sermersheim
Bianca Zenor

Vote: 6 yes, 0 No

For: 6

Against: 0

**Senate Document 19-06
21 October 2019**

**To: The University Senate
From: David A. Sanders, Andrew Hirsch, the Senate Student Affairs
Committee, and the Parking and Traffic Committee
Subject: Informing Students of Local Transportation Options
Disposition: University Senate for Discussion and Approval**

WHEREAS: There are many modes of transportation available to and around Purdue University; and

WHEREAS: Many Purdue University students are not aware of all the transportation options and rules; and

WHEREAS: Many Purdue University students originate from jurisdictions outside Indiana, where there may be different regulations;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The University Senate supports the creation of an ad hoc Committee consisting of a representative from the Purdue University Police Department, the Purdue University Senate Student Affairs Committee, Purdue Student Government, the Purdue Graduate Student government, and the Purdue University Parking and Traffic Faculty Committee, whose responsibility is to develop approaches to informing Purdue University students of transportation options and regulations and measuring their comprehension of them. The University Senate furthermore strongly urges the Purdue University administration to implement the developed educational approaches for all Purdue University students.

Respectfully submitted by David A. Sanders and Andrew Hirsch

Committee Votes

Student Affairs Committee

In Favor

Opposed

Dennis Savaiano
Signe Kastberg
Beth McCuskey
Steven Scott
Tom Atkinson
Rayvon Fouche
Rusi P Taleyarkhan
Jane Yacilla
David Sanders

Parking and Traffic Committee

Nicholas Dib
Ryan Gallagher
Leslie Charters
John Cox
Adam Keyster
Andy Pruitt
Zachary Stewart
Stephanie Winder
Kristi Brown
Steven Carn
Xingshuo Chen
Ben Dispennett
David Montgomery
Sun Dengfeng
Rick Walker

TO: The University Senate
 FROM: University Senate Steering Committee
 SUBJECT: Reapportionment of the University Senate
 REFERENCE: University Senate Document 90-5; University Code D 3.00; Bylaws of the University Senate, Items 2.00 and 2.01
 DISPOSITION: University Senate for Approval and Faculty Units

Section D 3.00 of the University Code and the Bylaws of the University Senate, provide that the University Senate shall be composed of one hundred two members. Ten of these are specified in the items 1 through 10 below. The other slots will be apportioned among the West Lafayette faculty units, according to the number of faculty members, with the provision that no faculty unit shall have fewer than two Senators. There are 2135 voting faculty members at the West Lafayette campus. When this number is divided by ninety-two the result is 23.21. Therefore, to qualify for two Senators, a faculty unit should have at least 46 voting faculty members. However, since no faculty unit can have fewer than two Senators, the Libraries unit qualifies for two Senators with 31 faculty members. The remaining units have a total of 2104 voting faculty members with ninety Senate seats remaining to be apportioned among them. The apportionment of Senators for each of these remaining units was obtained by dividing the number of voting faculty in the faculty unit by 23.21. The results are as follows: Agriculture, 13.14; Education, 3.10; Engineering, 17.71; Health & Human Sciences, 9.95; Liberal Arts, 11.59; Management, 4.78; Pharmacy, 3.58; Science, 13.57; Purdue Polytechnic Institute, 8.53; Veterinary Medicine, 4.70. In order to achieve the desired 90 Senators, the Colleges of Science and Pharmacy and the Purdue Polytechnic Institute were closest to being below 0.50 and thus were assigned values of 13, 3, and 8 Senators, respectively. The remaining eight units were rounded to the nearest integer.

	No. Voting Fac. Members 12 November 2018	Number of Senators 2019-2020	No. Voting Fac. Members 5 November 2019	Number of Senators 2020-2021
<u>Areas Represented</u>				
1. President		1		1
2. Chief Academic Officer		1		1
3. Chief Fiscal Officer		1		1
4. Chairperson of the Senate		1		1
5. Vice-Chairperson of the Senate		1		1
6. Purdue Northwest		1		1
7. Fort Wayne Campus		1		1
8. IUPUI Campus		1		1
9. Undergraduate Student		1		1
10. Graduate Student		1		1
11. Faculty Units				
<i>Agriculture</i>	310	13	305	13
<i>Education</i>	72	3	72	3
<i>Engineering</i>	418	18	411	18
<i>Health & Human Sciences</i>	232	10	231	10
<i>Liberal Arts</i>	274	11	269	12
<i>Libraries</i>	32	2	31	2
<i>Management</i>	111	5	111	5
<i>Pharmacy</i>	81	3	83	3
<i>Science</i>	333	14	315	13
<i>Purdue Polytechnic</i>	200	8	198	8
<i>Veterinary Medicine</i>	118	5	109	5
	2181	102	2135	102

Approving

Cheryl Cooky
 Ariel de la Fuente
 Deborah Nichols

Jeff Rhoads
Dharmendra Saraswat
Hong Tan

Appendix A

At the November Senate meeting, a question was raised about KHE and whether Kaplan Higher Education employees are covered by the policy. Below is the response.

In our policy prohibiting Purdue University faculty, staff, students, and independent contractors from betting on Purdue sports, independent contractors are ***workers*** who receive a 1099 for work done for Purdue. Hence, Kaplan Higher Education (KHE) is not an independent contractor as identified in the policy and is not covered by the policy. As indicated during the meeting, this is a Purdue University policy and it applies to Purdue West Lafayette, Purdue Northwest and Purdue Fort Wayne. The Purdue Colleges at IUPUI and Purdue Global are not covered by this policy.

FAQs on the policy can be found here: <https://www.purdue.edu/ethics/resources/faqs/sports-wager-faqs.php>.

TO: University Senate
FROM: Deborah Nichols, Chairperson of the Steering Committee
SUBJECT: Résumé of Items under Consideration by the Various Standing Committees

STEERING COMMITTEE

Deborah Nichols deborahnichols@purdue.edu

ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Cheryl Cooky senate-chair@purdue.edu

NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Fredrick Berry berryf@purdue.edu

1. Faculty Committees: Volunteer Call-Out
2. Invitation to meet with Colleges and Departments about Senate and Faculty Committees

EDUCATIONAL POLICY COMMITTEE

Andrew Freed freed@purdue.edu

1. Re-establish the functionality of the Committee for Student Excellence - scholarship and recruitment programs
2. Re-establish the functionality of the Academic Progress and Records committee - student requirements and regulations.
3. Modifications to student regulations dealing with degree requirements, transfer credits, night classes, priority registration, and student grief absence policy.
4. Working on an issue dealing with how the Oral English Proficiency Test (OEPT) is administered by the Oral English Proficiency Program (OEPP) to international graduate students.
5. Continuing to be engaged with the Civics Engagement Working Group as they collect data and prepare recommendations.
6. The Undergraduate Curriculum Council (which reports to the EPC) is working on standardizing Foundational outcomes language and will make suggestions to the EPC soon.

EQUITY AND DIVERSITY COMMITTEE

Audrey Ruple aruple@purdue.edu

1. Housing for graduate students
2. Sanitary products in campus buildings
3. National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine Consensus Study Report on Sexual Harassment of Women
4. Food security on campus
5. Faculty and staff continuing education recommendation
6. Diversity statement requirement for new faculty hires

FACULTY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Linda Prokopy lprokopy@purdue.edu

1. Censure and Dismissal Procedures Standing Committee
2. Faculty Compensation and Benefits Standing Committee

3. University Grade Appeals Standing Committee
4. Faculty engagement in travel policies
5. University standard S-19 (intellectual property)
6. Rank of Emeritus faculty
7. Lecturer Pay Bands and Time Line
8. Dual Career, Retention, and Strategic Opportunity Hires
9. Academic Analytics
10. Academic rigor
11. Pay equity
12. Teaching Evaluations
13. COACHE survey
14. Faculty/staff parking during football games
15. University-wide policies on graduate recruitment

STUDENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

David Sanders retrovir@purdue.edu

1. Transportation Options and Rules for Students
2. SAT/ACT in Admissions--Diversity in the Student Body
3. Class Absence Policies
4. Monitoring Experiences of Student Athletes
5. Civic Engagement
6. Housing
7. Mental Health
8. Food Insecurity

UNIVERSITY RESOURCES POLICY COMMITTEE

Randy Rapp rrapp@purdue.edu

1. URPC conducts Physical Facilities Master Plan presentation and discussion December 2, 2:45-4:45, PMU #258. This will be a more general introduction. Anticipate a meeting to discuss interests in detail in February 2020.
2. URPC notes currently 13% faculty and 7% students responded to the Parking and Mobility survey.
3. URPC notes Sustainability Committee has begun determination of Academic category inputs to Association for the Advancement of Sustainability in Higher Education (AASHE) Sustainability Tracking, Assessment & Rating System (STARS).

Chair of the Senate, Cheryl Cooky, senate-chair@purdue.edu
Vice Chair of the Senate, Deborah Nichols deborahnichols@purdue.edu
Secretary of the Senate, Joseph W. Camp, Jr., jcamp@purdue.edu
University Senate Minutes; <https://www.purdue.edu/senate>