Meeting Minutes  
October 2003  
Contact Information:  
Tammy Loew  
Student Wellness Office  
601 Stadium Mall Drive  
West Lafayette, IN 47907-1826  
Phone:  765-496-6780  
Fax:  765-496-1227  
Email:  tfloew@purdue.edu

Attendees:  
Dan Adams, Where Else?/Wabash Yacht Club  
Amanda Anthony, Exponent  
Jen Bushore, Governor’s Commission  
Carol Casey, Bar Barry Liquors  
Sheila Cochran, Neon Cactus  
Tom Cooper, TC’s Battle Ground, ILBA  
Steve Dietrich, Purdue University Police Department  
Jeff Fader, Bar Barry Liquors  
Tom Frey, University Book Stores  
Nola Gentry West Lafayette  
Will Greubel, PSG  
Rob Hall, A.J. Wingers  
Hamid Hanjani, Neon Cactus  
Beth Hlavek, Journal and Courier  
Jim Lewis, Indiana State Excise Police  
Tammy Loew, Alcohol Risk Reduction Coordinator, Purdue University  
Kristen McVey, Tippecanoe County Prosecutor’s Office  
Jan Mills, Community Issues Advisory Board, West Lafayette City Council  
Nando Perez, SmokeFree Purdue, Student Wellness Office  
Coral Potts, Neon Cactus  
Kevin Scanlon, Where Else?  
R.E. Smith, ATC  
Dan St. John, Village Bottle Shoppe  
Dan Towery, MADD  
Mark Webb, Alcohol Tobacco Commission, Indianapolis  
Jim Westman, PUSH

Welcome/Introductions  
After introductions, Tammy Loew spoke about the National Academy of Sciences/Institute of Medicine Report: Underage Drinking, a Collective Responsibility. She talked about how that report offers a comprehensive examination of the responsibilities each party has in prevention. She equated it to the Community Covenant that is signed by the members of the CCBRC. Our covenant outlines the responsibilities each of us has in addressing high-risk drinking and our college students. She also asked participants to fill out a survey about future issues and ways to address them for the CCBRC.

Will Greubel, PSG  
Will talked about how PSG is working with City Bus in the hopes of improving the late-night routes. This will provide students who are studying, doing other academic work, and also those students who visit the bars at night with an opportunity to get home safely. The primary purpose is to ensure the safety of students late at night.

PSG is very concerned with the increase in accidents involving pedestrians occurring in the Chauncey Village area. They suggest more police presence in traffic control around the bars. Jan Mills commented that the city is working with the local police to educate the community about traffic issues in that area.

R.E. Smith, Local ATC Board Member  
R.E. Smith spoke about his role in the local Alcohol Tobacco Commission and described the activities of the commission. The mayor appointed Smith to his position. They address license renewals, new licenses, transfers, citations for having minors, and tax issues relating to permittees. The commission meets every 2nd and 4th Wednesday of the month.
Smith mentioned that breakfast club is an issue that “polarizes people.” It is very tough to deal with because they cannot restrict the hours that bars are open.

**Mark Webb, Executive Secretary, Alcohol and Tobacco Commission**

Mark Webb provided members with an update on laws/regulations. The commission has not had the opportunity to consider the Breakfast Club question. There is a statute that forbids local municipalities from restricting the hours of operation.

House Bill 1738 became law on 7/01/03.

Webb discussed some financial issues: the tobacco sales certificate must be purchased from the commission for $50.00 and must be prominently displayed. Also, catering permits cost $150.00. There is no need for a temporary permit for each occasion. In addition, the bar employee permit fee has been raised to $30.00 from $20.00.

Some issues they are addressing: Amending the minor loitering rules so that it’s easier to administer and prohibiting strip clubs from certain areas.

The keg registration law is in effect. The local Excise offices cannot sell the booklets because they are not set up to exchange money.

Webb also talked about the issue of limited separation in bars. Minors cannot “hang out” to hear the band.

**Jen Bushore, Governor’s Commission for a Drug-Free Indiana**

Jen spoke about the issue of counter-measure fees. When someone is convicted of a drug-related offense, they are fined anywhere from $200-$1,000. Of the fees collected, 25% goes to the state, 75% of the fees stay in the county. Jen noted that no money goes to police officers or to their own departments.

Of the funds that go to the state:
- 26.6% goes into the State Drug-Free Communities fund (Governor’s Commission for a Drug-Free Indiana)
- 24.06%—Family Violence and Victim Assistance Fund
- 17.73%—Alcohol and Drug Countermeasures Fund
- 9.97%—Drug Interdiction Fund
- 9.45%—Highway Workzone Patrol Fund
- 6.65%—Corrections Drug Abuse Fund
- 5.54%—Drug Prosecution Fund

The money that goes to the county is given to the Coalition for a Safe and Drug-Free Tippecanoe County. Last year, the Coalition received $146,000 from counter-measure fees.

- The funds are divided up into prevention/education, treatment and enforcement grants to Tippecanoe County. Some of the grants received by Purdue are the marijuana education campaign and Grand Alternative.

When someone is given a public intoxication charge or a minor consumption charge, they are often given the diversion program. Those funds are handled differently:
- In Tippecanoe County, the charge is $150.00.
- $50.00 goes to court costs and the rest goes to the prosecutor’s office.

**Jim Lewis, Indiana State Excise Police**

Jim talked about all the different types of fake IDs. The minimum equipment needed to check an ID is a flashlight, a UV light and an ID book. He stressed the importance of looking at the picture on the ID to make sure it’s the same person. A person might use another person’s ID, and when it goes through the scanner, it won’t show up as a fake. Scanners work pretty well, but vigilance is still needed. The current popular fake IDs that are being seen are from Michigan, Indiana, New Jersey and California.

Jim mentioned that establishments can keep the ID if it is a fake.

Dan St. John mentioned that they have not found many fakes with the scanners they purchased. He believes it is due to the fact that his store has always done a good job spotting them and discouraging their use.